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#### Abstract

We prove a Filippov type existence theorem for solutions of a second order differential inclusion with mixed boundary conditions by the application of the contraction principle in the space of the derivatives of solutions instead of the space of solutions.
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## 1 Introduction

In this note we study the second-order differential inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime} \in F(t, x), \quad \text { a.e. }(I) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=c_{1}, \\
& x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=c_{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I=[0,1], F(.,):. I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ and $k_{i} \in \mathbf{R}_{+}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{R}, i=1,2$.
In the theory of ordinary differential equations (i.e., when $F$ is a single valued map) problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well known as a bilocal problem with mixed boundary conditions.

The present note is motivated by a recent paper of Belrabi and Benchohra ([1]) in which several existence results concerning second order nonlinear boundary value problems with integral conditions are obtained via fixed point techniques. The aim of our paper is to provide a Filippov type result concerning the existence of solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2). Recall that for a differential inclusion
defined by a lipschitzian set-valued map with nonconvex values, Filippov's theorem consists in proving the existence of a solution starting from a given almost solution.

Our approach is different from the one in [1] and consists in applying the contraction principle in the space of derivatives of solutions instead of the space of solutions. The idea of applying the set-valued contraction principle due to Covitz and Nadler ([6]) in the space of derivatives of the solutions belongs to Kannai and Tallos ([7]) and it was already used for other results concerning differential inclusions ([3,4,5] etc.).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some preliminary facts that we need in the sequel and in Section 3 we prove our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this short section we sum up some basic facts that we are going to use later.
Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and consider a set valued map $T$ on $X$ with nonempty closed values in $X . T$ is said to be a $\lambda$-contraction if there exists $0<\lambda<1$ such that:

$$
d_{H}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

where $d_{H}(.,$.$) denotes the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance. Recall that Pompeiu-$ Hausdorff distance of the closed subsets $A, B \subset X$ is defined by

$$
d_{H}(A, B)=\max \left\{d^{*}(A, B), d^{*}(B, A)\right\}, \quad d^{*}(A, B)=\sup \{d(a, B) ; a \in A\}
$$

where $d(x, B)=\inf _{y \in B} d(x, y)$.
If $X$ is complete, then every set valued contraction has a fixed point, i.e. a point $z \in X$ such that $z \in T(z)([6])$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ the set of all fixed points of the set-valued map $T$. Obviously, $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ is closed.

Proposition 2.1.([8]) Let $X$ be a complete metric space and suppose that $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are $\lambda$-contractions with closed values in $X$. Then

$$
d_{H}\left(F i x\left(T_{1}\right), F i x\left(T_{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sup _{z \in X} d\left(T_{1}(z), T_{2}(z)\right)
$$

By $A C^{1}$ we denote the space of differentiable functions $x():.(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose first derivative $x^{\prime}($.$) is absolutely continuous and by L^{1}$ we denote the Banach space of Lebesgue integrable functions $x():.[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ endowed with the norm $\|u(.)\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|u(t)| d t$.

A function $x(.) \in A C^{1}$ is said to be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if there exists a function $v(.) \in L^{1}$ with $v(t) \in F(t, x(t))$, a.e. $(I)$ such that $x^{\prime \prime}(t)=v(t)$, a.e. $(I)$ and $x($.$) satisfies conditions (1.2).$

The next statement is well known (e.g. [1]).
Lemma 2.2. If $v():.[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an integrable function then the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=v(t) \quad \text { a.e. }(I) \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=c_{1}, \\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=c_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

has a unique solution $x(.) \in A C^{1}$ given by

$$
x(t)=P_{c}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) v(s) d s
$$

where if $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}(t)=\frac{\left(1-t+k_{2}\right) c_{1}+\left(k_{1}+t\right) c_{2}}{1+k_{1}+k_{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{-1}{1+k_{1}+k_{2}} \begin{cases}\left(k_{1}+t\right)\left(1-s+k_{2}\right) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leq t<s \leq 1  \tag{2.2}\\ \left(k_{1}+s\right)\left(1-t+k_{2}\right) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leq s<t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

is the Green function of the problem.
Note that if $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ we put $\|a\|=\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right|$ and

$$
\left|P_{a}(t)-P_{b}(t)\right| \leq\|a-b\|
$$

On the other hand, it is well known that $\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)|=\frac{1+k_{1}+k_{2}}{4}$.
In what follows we impose the following conditions on $F$.
Hypothesis 2.3. (i) $F(.,):. I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ has nonempty closed values and for every $x \in X F(., x)$ is measurable.
(ii) There exists $L(.) \in L^{1}$ such that for almost all $t \in I, F(t, \cdot)$ is $L(t)$ Lipschitz in the sense that

$$
d_{H}(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq L(t)|x-y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}
$$

and $d(0, F(t, 0)) \leq L(t) \quad$ a.e. $(I)$.

## 3 The main result

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.
Theorem 3.1. Assume that Hypothesis 2.3 is satisfied, $\lambda:=\sup _{t, s \in I}$ $|G(t, s)| \cdot \int_{0}^{1} L(s) d s<1$ and let $y(.) \in A C^{1}$ be such that there exists $q(.) \in L^{1}$
with $d\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t), F(t, y(t))\right) \leq q(t)$, a.e. $(I)$. Denote $\tilde{c}_{0}=y(0)-k_{1} y^{\prime}(0), \tilde{c}_{1}=$ $y(1)+k_{2} y^{\prime}(1)$ and $\tilde{c}=\left(\tilde{c}_{1}, \tilde{c}_{2}\right)$.

Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $x($.$) a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying for$ all $t \in I$

$$
|x(t)-y(t)| \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}| | c-\tilde{c} \|+\frac{\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)|}{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t+\varepsilon
$$

Proof: For $u(.) \in L^{1}$ and define the following set valued maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{u}(t) & =F\left(t, P_{c}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) u(s) d s\right), \quad t \in I \\
T(u) & =\left\{\phi(.) \in L^{1} ; \quad \phi(t) \in M_{u}(t) \quad \text { a.e. }(I)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the definition and Lemma 2.2 that $x($.$) is a solution of (1.1)-$ (1.2) if and only if $x^{\prime \prime}($.$) is a fixed point of T($.$) .$

We shall prove first that $T(u)$ is nonempty and closed for every $u \in L^{1}$. The fact that that the set valued map $M_{u}($.$) is measurable is well known. For example$ the map $t \rightarrow P(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) u(s) d s$ can be approximated by step functions and we can apply Theorem III. 40 in [2]. Since the values of $F$ are closed with the measurable selection theorem (Theorem III.6 in [2]) we infer that $M_{u}($.$) admits$ a measurable selection $\phi$. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi(t)| \leq d & (0, F(t, 0))+d_{H}\left(F(t, 0), F\left(t, P_{c}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) u(s) d s\right) \leq\right. \\
& \leq L(t)\left(1+\left|P_{c}(t)\right|+\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)| \int_{0}^{1}|u(s)| d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\phi \in L^{1}$ and $T(u)$ is nonempty.
On the other hand, the set $T(u)$ is also closed. Indeed, if $\phi_{n} \in T(u)$ and $\left\|\phi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ then we can pass to a subsequence $\phi_{n_{k}}$ such that $\phi_{n_{k}}(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ for a.e. $t \in I$, and we find that $\phi \in T(u)$.

We show next that $T($.$) is a contraction on L^{1}$.
Let $u, v \in L^{1}$ be given, $\phi \in T(u)$ and let $\delta>0$. Consider the following set-valued map:

$$
H(t)=M_{v}(t) \cap\left\{x \in \mathbf{R} ;|\phi(t)-x| \leq L(t)\left|\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)(u(s)-v(s)) d s\right|+\delta\right\}
$$

From Proposition III. 4 in [2], $H($.$) is measurable and from Hypothesis 2.2$ ii) $H($.$) has nonempty closed values. Therefore, there exists \psi($.$) a measurable$ selection of $H($.$) . It follows that \psi \in T(v)$ and according with the definition of the norm we have

$$
\|\phi-\psi\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|\phi(t)-\psi(t)| d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} L(t)\left(\int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)| \cdot|u(s)-v(s)| d s\right) d t+
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \delta d t=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} L(t)|G(t, s)| d t\right)|u(s)-v(s)| d s+\delta \leq \lambda\|u-v\|_{1}+\delta
$$

Since $\delta>0$ was chosen arbitrarly, we deduce that

$$
d(\phi, T(v)) \leq \lambda\|u-v\|_{1} .
$$

Replacing $u$ by $v$ we obtain

$$
d_{H}(T(u), T(v)) \leq \lambda\|u-v\|_{1}
$$

thus $T($.$) is a contraction on L^{1}$.
We consider next the following set-valued maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}(t, x)=F(t, x)+q(t)[-1,1], \quad(t, x) \in I \times \mathbf{R}, \\
P_{\tilde{c}}(t)=\frac{\left(1-t+k_{2}\right) \tilde{c}_{1}+\left(k_{1}+t\right) \tilde{c}_{2}}{1+k_{1}+k_{2}}, \\
M_{u}^{1}(t)=F_{1}\left(t, P_{\tilde{c}}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) u(s) d s\right), \quad t \in I, \quad u(.) \in L^{1}, \\
T_{1}(u)=\left\{\psi(.) \in L^{1} ; \quad \psi(t) \in M_{u}^{1}(t) \quad \text { a.e. }(I)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously, $F_{1}(.,$.$) satisfies Hypothesis 2.3.$
Repeating the previous step of the proof we obtain that $T_{1}$ is also a $\lambda$ contraction on $L^{1}$ with closed nonempty values.

We prove next the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{H}\left(T(u), T_{1}(u)\right) \leq\|c-\tilde{c}\| \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi \in T(u), \delta>0$ and define

$$
H_{1}(t)=M_{u}^{1}(t) \cap\left\{z \in \mathbf{R} ; \quad|\phi(t)-z| \leq L(t)\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right|+q(t)+\delta\right\}
$$

With the same arguments used for the set valued map $H($.$) , we deduce that$ $H_{1}($.$) is measurable with nonempty closed values. Hence let \psi($.$) be a measurable$ selection of $H_{1}($.$) . It follows that \psi \in T_{1}(u)$ and one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\phi-\psi\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|\phi(t)-\psi(t)| d t \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[L(t)\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right|+q(t)+\delta\right] d t \leq \\
\int_{0}^{1} L(t)\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{1} q(t)+\delta \leq\|c-\tilde{c}\| \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t+\int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t+\delta
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\delta$ is arbitrarly, as above we obtain (3.1).
We apply Proposition 2.1 and we infer that

$$
d_{H}\left(\operatorname{Fix}(T), F i x\left(T_{1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\|c-\tilde{c}\| \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t+\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t
$$

Since $y^{\prime \prime}(.) \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{1}\right)$ it follows that there exists $u(.) \in F i x(T)$ such that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}-u\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\|c-\tilde{c}\| \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t+\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t+\frac{\varepsilon}{\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)|}
$$

We define $x(t)=P_{c}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) u(s) d s, t \in I$ and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x(t)-y(t)| \leq\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right|+\int_{0}^{1}|G(t, s)| \cdot\left|u(s)-y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| d s \\
\leq\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right|+\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)| \cdot\left\|y^{\prime \prime}-u\right\|_{1} \leq\left|P_{c}(t)-P_{\tilde{c}}(t)\right|+ \\
\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)| \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t| | c-\tilde{c} \|+\frac{\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)|}{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t+\varepsilon \\
\leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}| | c-\tilde{c} \|+\frac{\sup _{t, s \in I}|G(t, s)|}{1-\lambda} \int_{0}^{1} q(t) d t+\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

which completes the proof.
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