

## On the approximation of the Thue-Morse generating sequence

by  
ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS

### Abstract

Let  $T(x) = 1 + x + x^3 + x^6 + \dots$  be the generating function of the Thue-Morse sequence. We show that for any coprime nonzero integers  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $b > a^2$  the irrationality exponent of  $T(a/b)$  does not exceed  $(2 \log b - 2 \log |a|) / (\log b - 2 \log |a|)$ . We also prove that infinitely many partial quotients of the number  $T(\pm 1/b)$ , where  $b \geq 2$  is an integer, lie in the set  $\{c - 1, c\}$  for some integer  $c = c(\pm 1, b) \geq 2$ . For instance, the continued fraction of  $T(-1/3)$  has infinitely many partial quotients smaller than or equal to 3. In passing, we obtain the following Lagrange type result: if for an irrational number  $\alpha$  whose continued fraction expansion has only finitely many partial quotients smaller than or equal to  $t - 1$ , where  $t \geq 2$  is an integer, and some coprime integers  $p, q$ , where  $q$  is large enough, we have  $|\alpha - p/q| < (t - 1)/tq^2$  then  $p/q$  is a convergent to  $\alpha$ .

**Key Words:** Thue-Morse sequence, irrationality measure, continued fraction, Lagrange's theorem.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 11J04;  
Secondary 11J70, 11J82.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\xi$  be a real irrational number. Recall that the *irrationality exponent* (or *irrationality measure*) of  $\xi$  is the supremum  $\mu(\xi)$  of real numbers  $\mu$  such that the inequality  $|\xi - p/q| < q^{-\mu}$  has infinitely many solutions in rational numbers  $p/q$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . For almost every real number  $\xi$  its irrationality exponent is equal to 2, but for almost every 'concrete' irrational number  $\xi$  this is very difficult to prove and, usually, only upper bounds on the irrationality exponent  $\mu(\xi)$  are known. A very helpful tool in approximation of a number by rational fractions is its continued fraction expansion, but once again there are not so many numbers  $\xi$  for which this expansion is known or at least one can say something nontrivial about it. Recall that the  $m$ th convergent to the continued fraction expansion of

$$\xi = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

where  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the rational number  $p_m/q_m = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m]$ , where  $p_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gcd(p_m, q_m) = 1$ . The numbers  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  are called partial quotients of this continued fraction.

In this paper we shall investigate some diophantine properties of the Thue-Morse generating function at rational points. More precisely, let  $\mathbf{t} = (t_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  be the Thue-Morse sequence

$$01101001100101101001011001101001 \dots,$$

where  $t_n = 0$  if the sum of binary digits of  $n$  is even and  $t_n = 1$  otherwise. Let also

$$T(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_i x^{i-1} = 1 + x + x^3 + x^6 + x^7 + x^{10} + \dots$$

be the infinite series associated with  $\mathbf{t}$ . We shall only consider the function  $T(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $0 < |x| < 1$ . The sequence  $\mathbf{t}$  is a famous one and appears in many unrelated subjects (see, e. g., [4] and [5]). Apparently, Mahler was the first who in [14] investigated the arithmetic properties of values of functions satisfying some functional equations at algebraic points. In particular,  $T(x)$  is such a function, since

$$P(x) := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2^j}) = \frac{1}{1-x} - 2xT(x) \quad (1.1)$$

and  $P(x) = (1-x)P(x^2)$ . The diophantine properties of the numbers  $T(1/b)$ , where  $b \geq 2$  is an integer, have been recently investigated by Adamczewski, Bugeaud, Cassaigne and Rivoal in [1], [2], [6].

In this direction we prove that

**Theorem 1.** *For any nonzero integers  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $b > a^2$  the irrationality exponent of  $T(a/b)$  does not exceed*

$$(2 \log b - 2 \log |a|) / (\log b - 2 \log |a|).$$

For  $a = 1$  one obtains  $\mu(T(1/b)) = 2$  for each  $b \geq 2$  which is the result of Bugeaud [6] (note that we also have  $\mu(T(-1/b)) = 2$ ). Theorem 1 follows from the same construction as in [6] which in turn is based on a result of Allouche, Peyrière, Wen and Wen [3]. For  $a = \pm 1$  one can get some nontrivial information about the continued fraction expansion of the number  $T(a/b)$ :

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $a = \pm 1$  and let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer. If  $(a, b) \neq (-1, 2), (-1, 3)$  then for each sufficiently large  $n$  the number  $b^{2^n - 2}(b - a)(b^{2^{n-1}} + 1)$  is a denominator of some convergent to  $T(a/b)$  and the continued fraction expansion of  $T(a/b)$  has infinitely many partial quotients in the set  $\{c - 1, c\}$ , where  $c = c(a, b) := \lfloor b/P(b^{-2})(1 - a/b)^3 \rfloor$  and  $P(x)$  is defined in (1.1). Furthermore, the continued fraction of  $T(-1/3)$  has infinitely many partial quotients smaller than or equal to 3.*

Here is a table of values for the number

$$C(a, b) := \frac{b}{P(b^{-2})(1 - a/b)^3}$$

when  $a = 1$  and  $2 \leq b \leq 7$  with two correct digits

$a$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$b$	2	3	4	5	6	7
$C(a, b)$	22.84	11.53	10.15	10.18	10.67	11.35
$c(a, b) = \lfloor C(a, b) \rfloor$	22	11	10	10	10	11

and that for  $a = -1$  and  $3 \leq b \leq 7$  (with two correct digits):

$a$	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$b$	3	4	5	6	7
$C(a, b)$	1.44	2.19	3.01	3.88	4.78
$c(a, b) = \lfloor C(a, b) \rfloor$	1	2	3	3	4

Note that  $C(\pm 1, b) \sim b$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$ . For instance, we have  $C(1, 100) = 103.07\dots$  and  $C(-1, 100) = 97.06\dots$

The first part of Theorem 2 for  $a = 1$  proves that the fraction  $p_n(b)/q_n(b)$  considered in Theorem 5.1 of [2] is in its reduced form which was left open in [2]. Of course, this also implies Conjecture 5.2 of [2], although a better (in fact, best possible) result is already given in [6]. In the proof of Theorem 2 we shall use arguments similar to those used in [7], where the continued fraction of the constant  $T(1/2)/4$  was considered.

To cover the case  $a/b = -1/3$  of Theorem 2 we shall use the following result:

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose  $\alpha$  is an irrational number whose continued fraction expansion has only finitely many partial quotients smaller than or equal to  $t - 1$ , where  $t \geq 2$  is an integer. If for some coprime integers  $p, q$ , where  $q > 0$  is large enough, we have*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{t - 1}{tq^2} \tag{1.2}$$

then  $p/q$  is a convergent to  $\alpha$ .

Recall that the classical Lagrange's theorem asserts that if  $|\alpha - p/q| < 1/2q^2$  then  $p/q$  is a convergent to  $\alpha$ , so Theorem 3 weakens its assumption for each  $t \geq 3$ .

We will also show that the constant  $(t - 1)/t$  in Theorem 3 is best possible, namely, there exists an irrational number  $\alpha$  whose continued fraction expansion has partial quotients greater than or equal to  $t$  such that the inequality (1.2) holds for infinitely many rational fractions  $p/q$  which are not convergents to  $\alpha$ . (More precisely, we will take  $(p, q) = (p_m + p_{m-1}, q_m + q_{m-1})$ , where  $p_m/q_m$  is the  $m$ th convergent to  $\alpha$ .) Because of this, the pair  $(a, b) = (-1, 2)$  is an exception in Theorem 2. Indeed, since  $C(-1, 2) < 1$ , by the formula (6.2) below, for  $T(-1/2)$  and the rational fractions  $p/q = p_n(-1, 2)/q_n(-1, 2)$  we only have  $|T(-1/2) - p/q| < c_0/q^2$  with some  $c_0 > 1$ . Thus, using the methods of this paper, we cannot say anything about the partial quotients of  $T(-1/2)$ , since Theorem 3 cannot be applied to  $\alpha = T(-1/2)$  and some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the next section we give the proof of Theorem 3. In Section 3 we give some identities and analytical estimates. Section 4 is devoted to some arithmetical results. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6 we complete the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively.

## 2 Continued fractions

Below, we shall use the following standard lemma (see, e. g., [15]):

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  be an irrational number with convergents  $(p_m/q_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ . Then for each  $m \geq 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} q_m \alpha - p_m &= \frac{(-1)^m}{q_m \alpha_{m+1} + q_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{([a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+3}, \dots] + [0, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1]) q_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{m+1} := [a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+3}, \dots]$ .

The following result was first proved by Fatou (see [11], [12], p. 16 and also [9], [10], [16] for more recent work on this problem):

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $\alpha$  is an irrational number with convergents  $(p_m/q_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ . If for two coprime integers  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \geq 2$  we have*

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$$

then  $p = p_m + \theta p_{m-1}$  and  $q = q_m + \theta q_{m-1}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\theta \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3:* Assume that  $p/q$  is not a convergent to  $\alpha$ , namely,  $q \neq q_m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (otherwise there is nothing to prove). We need to show that in two cases  $(p, q) = (p_{m+1} - p_m, q_{m+1} - q_m)$  and  $(p, q) = (p_m + p_{m-1}, q_m + q_{m-1})$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  that are possible by Lemma 2 the stronger bound (1.2) on  $|\alpha - p/q|$  of the theorem leads to a contradiction.

Indeed, as

$$p_{m+1} + (1 - a_{m+1})p_m = a_{m+1}p_m + p_{m-1} + (1 - a_{m+1})p_m = p_m + p_{m-1}$$

(and, similarly,  $q_{m+1} + (1 - a_{m+1})q_m = q_m + q_{m-1}$ ), in order to combine these two cases into one we may assume that for some nonnegative integer  $m$

$$p = p_{m+1} + \ell p_m, \quad q = q_{m+1} + \ell q_m$$

with  $\ell = -1$  or  $\ell = 1 - a_{m+1}$ , where  $a_{m+1} \geq 2$ . Then, by Lemma 1,  $q_{m+1} = a_{m+1}q_m + q_{m-1}$  and  $\alpha_{m+1} = a_{m+1} + 1/\alpha_{m+2}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} q\alpha - p &= (q_{m+1} + \ell q_m)\alpha - (p_{m+1} + \ell p_m) = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m} + \frac{\ell(-1)^m}{q_m\alpha_{m+1} + q_{m-1}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m} + \frac{\ell(-1)^m}{a_{m+1}q_m + q_{m-1} + q_m/\alpha_{m+2}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}(1 - \ell\alpha_{m+2})}{q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from  $|q\alpha - p| < (t-1)/tq = (t-1)/(q_{m+1} + \ell q_m)$  (see (1.2)) it follows that

$$1 + |\ell|\alpha_{m+2} = |1 - \ell\alpha_{m+2}| = |q\alpha - p|(q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m) < \frac{(t-1)(q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m)}{t(q_{m+1} + \ell q_m)},$$

where  $\ell = -1$  or  $\ell = 1 - a_{m+1}$  and  $a_{m+1} \geq 2$ . Thus

$$t(|\ell|\alpha_{m+2} + 1)(q_{m+1} - |\ell|q_m) < (t-1)(q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m).$$

Dividing by  $q_m$ , we find that

$$t-1 > (t(|\ell|\alpha_{m+2} + 1) - (t-1)\alpha_{m+2})q_{m+1}/q_m - t|\ell|(|\ell|\alpha_{m+2} + 1).$$

The coefficient for  $q_{m+1}/q_m$  on the right hand side is positive, so, using the inequality  $q_{m+1}/q_m > a_{m+1}$ , we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t-1 &> (t(|\ell|\alpha_{m+2} + 1) - (t-1)\alpha_{m+2})a_{m+1} - t|\ell|(|\ell|\alpha_{m+2} + 1) \\ &= (t|\ell|a_{m+1} - (t-1)a_{m+1} - t|\ell|^2)\alpha_{m+2} + ta_{m+1} - t|\ell|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us write this inequality in the form

$$(|\ell| + 1)t - 1 - ta_{m+1} > (t|\ell|a_{m+1} - (t-1)a_{m+1} - t|\ell|^2)\alpha_{m+2}. \quad (2.1)$$

By the condition of the theorem,  $a_{m+1} \geq t$ , since  $q = q_{m+1} + \ell q_m > q_m$  is large enough and so  $m$  is large enough.

For  $\ell = -1$ , the left hand side of (2.1) is  $2t - 1 - ta_{m+1} \leq 2t - 1 - t^2 = -(t-1)^2 \leq 0$ , whereas its right hand side is nonnegative in view of

$$ta_{m+1} - (t-1)a_{m+1} - t = a_{m+1} - t \geq 0$$

and  $\alpha_{m+2} > 0$ . Therefore, (2.1) cannot hold. Similarly, for  $\ell = 1 - a_{m+1}$ , the the left hand side of (2.1) is equal to  $-1$ , but the right hand side is nonnegative in view of

$$\begin{aligned} t(a_{m+1} - 1)a_{m+1} - (t-1)a_{m+1} - t(a_{m+1} - 1)^2 &= t(a_{m+1} - 1) - (t-1)a_{m+1} \\ &= a_{m+1} - t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so (2.1) cannot hold too. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Finally, to show that the constant  $(t-1)/t$  of Theorem 3 is best possible we consider  $\alpha$  whose continued fraction expansion is such that for infinitely many  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $a_{m+1} = t$  and the neighboring partial quotients  $a_m, a_{m+2}$  both tend to infinity as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Put  $p = p_{m+1} - (a_{m+1} - 1)p_m = p_m + p_{m-1}$  and  $q = q_m + q_{m-1}$  for those  $m$ . Note that  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , because  $\gcd(p, q)$  divides  $|pq_m - qp_m| = |p_{m-1}q_m - q_{m-1}p_m| = 1$ . Therefore,  $p/q$  is not a convergent of  $\alpha$ . As above, using  $q_{m+1} = a_{m+1}q_m + q_{m-1} = tq_m + q_{m-1}$ , we obtain

$$q\alpha - p = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}(1 + (a_{m+1} - 1)\alpha_{m+2})}{q_{m+1}\alpha_{m+2} + q_m} = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}(t-1 + 1/\alpha_{m+2})}{(t+1/\alpha_{m+2})(q - q_{m-1}) + q_{m-1}}.$$

Thus

$$q^2|\alpha - p/q| = \frac{t-1 + 1/\alpha_{m+2}}{t + 1/\alpha_{m+2} + (1-t-1/\alpha_{m+2})q_{m-1}/q}$$

tends to  $(t-1)/t$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , since the quantities  $q_{m-1}/q < q_{m-1}/q_m < 1/a_m$  and  $1/\alpha_{m+2} < 1/a_{m+2}$  both tend to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3 Analytical results

Set

$$s_n(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} t_i x^{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{s}_n(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (1-t_i) x^{i-1}.$$

Then

$$s_n(x) + \bar{s}_n(x) = x^{-1} + 1 + x + \dots + x^{2^n-2} = \frac{1-x^{2^n}}{x(1-x)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$s_{n+1}(x) = s_n(x) + x^{2^n} \bar{s}_n(x), \quad (3.2)$$

$$s_n(x) - \bar{s}_n(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1-x^{2^j}), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$T(x) = s_n(x) + x^{2^n} \bar{s}_n(x) + x^{2 \cdot 2^n} \bar{s}_n(x) + x^{3 \cdot 2^n} s_n(x) + \dots \quad (3.4)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The pattern of  $s_n$  and  $\bar{s}_n$  in  $s_n \bar{s}_n \bar{s}_n s_n \dots$  in (3.4) is the same as that of 0 and 1 in the original sequence  $\mathbf{t}$ . Using (3.1) we find that

$$R_n(x) := s_n(x) + (x^{2^n} + x^{2 \cdot 2^n} + x^{3 \cdot 2^n} + \dots) \bar{s}_n(x) = \frac{1-2x^{2^n}}{1-x^{2^n}} s_n(x) + \frac{x^{2^n}-1}{1-x}. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) - R_n(x) &= (s_n(x) - \bar{s}_n(x))(x^{3 \cdot 2^n} + x^{5 \cdot 2^n} + x^{6 \cdot 2^n} + x^{8 \cdot 2^n} + x^{9 \cdot 2^n} + \dots) \\ &= -(x^{3 \cdot 2^n-1} + x^{5 \cdot 2^n-1} + x^{6 \cdot 2^n-1} + \dots) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1-x^{2^j}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting

$$P_n(x) := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1-x^{2^j}), \quad (3.6)$$

we obtain

$$|x|^{3 \cdot 2^n-1} |P_n(x)| < |T(x) - R_n(x)| < \frac{|x|^{3 \cdot 2^n-1} |P_n(x)|}{1-x^{2^n}} \quad (3.7)$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $0 < |x| < 1$ .

In [6] it was shown that

**Lemma 3.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $m \geq 0$  there are polynomials  $P_{k,m}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree at most  $2^m k - 1$  and  $Q_{k,m}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree at most  $2^m k$  such that*

$$\left| P(x) - \frac{P_{k,m}(x)}{Q_{k,m}(x)} \right| \asymp |x|^{2^{m+1}k}$$

for every  $x \neq 0$  satisfying  $|x| \leq 1/2$ , where the constants in  $\asymp$  depend on  $k$  only. (Recall that  $f \asymp g$  if there are two positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $c_1 g \leq f \leq c_2 g$ .) Furthermore, the integers  $q_{k,m} := b^{2^m k} Q_{k,m}(a/b)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $|a/b| \leq 1/2$ , satisfy

$$q_{k,m} \asymp b^{2^m k},$$

where the constants in  $\asymp$  depend on  $k$  only.

#### 4 Arithmetical results

Put  $F_n(a, b) := b^{2^n} + a^{2^n}$  and let  $(k_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence defined by  $k_1 := 1$  and

$$k_{n+1} := (b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})k_n + a^{2^n - 1} = (F_{n-1}(a, b) - 2a^{2^n - 1})k_n + a^{2^n - 1} \quad (4.1)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

**Lemma 4.** For any integers  $a$  and  $b$  satisfying  $0 < |a| < b$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  we have

$$r_n := \frac{s_n(a/b)}{1 - (a/b)^{2^n}} = \frac{b^2 k_n}{(b-a)F_{n-1}(a, b)}.$$

Furthermore, the fraction on the right hand side is in its reduced form for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:** Put for brevity  $F_n$  for  $F_n(a, b)$ . Note that for  $n = 1$  we have  $s_1(x) = 1$ . Hence

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{1 - (a/b)^2} = \frac{b^2}{b^2 - a^2} = \frac{b^2 k_1}{(b-a)(b+a)} = \frac{b^2 k_1}{(b-a)F_0}$$

is in its reduced form in view of  $\gcd(b^2, b^2 - a^2) = 1$ . Assume that the assertion of the lemma holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n+1} &= \frac{s_{n+1}(a/b)}{1 - (a/b)^{2^{n+1}}} = \frac{s_n(a/b) + (a/b)^{2^n} \bar{s}_n(a/b)}{1 - (a/b)^{2^{n+1}}} \\ &= \frac{s_n(a/b)}{1 + (a/b)^{2^n}} + \frac{(a/b)^{2^n - 1}}{(1 - a/b)(1 + (a/b)^{2^n})} \\ &= \frac{r_n(1 - (a/b)^{2^n})}{1 + (a/b)^{2^n}} + \frac{(a/b)^{2^n - 1}}{(1 - a/b)(1 + (a/b)^{2^n})} \\ &= \frac{r_n(F_n - 2a^{2^n})}{F_n} + \frac{a^{2^n - 1} b^2}{(b-a)F_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using equality  $F_n - 2a^{2^n} = (b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})F_{n-1}$  and the induction hypothesis on  $r_n$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (b-a)F_n r_{n+1} &= r_n(b-a)(F_n - 2a^{2^n}) + a^{2^n - 1} b^2 \\ &= \frac{b^2 k_n}{(b-a)F_{n-1}} (b-a)(b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})F_{n-1} + a^{2^n - 1} b^2 = b^2 k_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

in view of (4.1). This yields  $r_{n+1} = b^2 k_{n+1} / (b-a)F_n$ , which is the required inequality for  $n+1$ .

It remains to prove that  $\gcd(b^2 k_{n+1}, (b-a)F_n) = 1$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 2ak_{n+1} - F_n &= 2ak_n(F_{n-1} - 2a^{2^{n-1}}) + 2a^{2^n} - F_n \\ &= 2ak_n(F_{n-1} - 2a^{2^{n-1}}) - (b^{2^n} - a^{2^n}) \\ &= (2ak_n - F_{n-1})(F_{n-1} - 2a^{2^{n-1}}) \\ &\quad + F_{n-1}(F_{n-1} - 2a^{2^{n-1}}) - (b^{2^n} - a^{2^n}) \\ &= (2ak_n - F_{n-1})(F_{n-1} - 2a^{2^{n-1}}) \\ &= (2ak_n - F_{n-1})(b-a)F_0F_1 \dots F_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this inequality for  $n$ , etc. we see that the right hand side is equal to  $(2ak_1 - F_0)(b-a)^n F_0^{n-1} F_1^{n-2} \dots F_{n-2}$ . Hence

$$2ak_{n+1} - F_n = -(b-a)^{n+1} F_0^{n-1} F_1^{n-2} \dots F_{n-2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Assume that there is a prime number  $p$  which divides both  $b^2 k_{n+1}$  and  $(b-a)F_n$ . Clearly, if  $p|b$  then  $p$  does not divide neither  $b-a$  nor  $F_n$ . Next, suppose that  $p|k_{n+1}$ .

Observe that

$$\gcd(b^{2^m} - a^{2^m}, F_n) = 1 \quad (4.3)$$

for any integers  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , because  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime. In particular, (4.3) implies that  $b-a$  and  $F_n$  are coprime. Assume first that  $p|(b-a)$ . Then equality (4.2) combined with  $p|k_{n+1}$  yields  $p|F_n$ , a contradiction. Otherwise, when  $p|F_n$ , equality (4.2) (combined with (4.3)) shows that  $p$  must divide some  $F_m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq n-2$ . Then  $p$  also divides  $F_m(b^{2^m} - a^{2^m}) = b^{2^{m+1}} - a^{2^{m+1}}$ , which contradicts to (4.3).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *For any integers  $a$  and  $b$  satisfying  $0 < |a| < b$  and  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  the fraction*

$$R_n(a/b) = \frac{(b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})k_n + a^{2^n-1}F_{n-1}}{(b-a)b^{2^n-2}F_{n-1}} \quad (4.4)$$

is in its reduced form for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, by (3.5) and Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(a/b) &= (1 - 2(a/b)^{2^n})r_n + \frac{(a/b)^{2^n-1}}{1-a/b} = \frac{(b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})b^2 k_n}{(b-a)b^{2^n} F_{n-1}} + \frac{a^{2^n-1}}{(b-a)b^{2^n-2}} \\ &= \frac{(b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})k_n + a^{2^n-1}F_{n-1}}{(b-a)b^{2^n-2}F_{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.4). What is left is to show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\gcd(\ell_n, (b-a)b^{2^n-2}F_{n-1}) = 1, \quad (4.5)$$



where  $\ell_n := (b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})k_n + a^{2^n-1}F_{n-1}$ . In all what follows we assume that  $n \geq 2$ , because for  $n = 1$  the numbers  $\ell_1 = b^2 - a^2 + ab$  and  $(b - a)b^{2^2-2}F_0 = b^2 - a^2$  are coprime in view of  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

For a contradiction assume that for some positive integer  $n \geq 2$  a prime number  $p$  divides both  $\ell_n = (b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})k_n + a^{2^n-1}F_{n-1}$  and  $(b - a)b^{2^n-2}F_{n-1}$ . We will consider three cases

- (i)  $p|(b - a)$ ;
- (ii)  $p|b$ ;
- (iii)  $p|F_{n-1}$ .

In case (i), the number  $\ell_n = (b^{2^n} - 2a^{2^n})k_n + a^{2^n-1}F_{n-1}$  modulo  $p$  is equal to

$$-a^{2^n}k_n + 2a^{2^n+2^{n-1}-1} = a^{2^n+2^{n-1}-1}$$

in view of  $k_n \equiv a^{2^{n-1}-1} \pmod{(b - a)}$ . So  $\ell_n$  is not divisible by  $p$ .

In case (ii),  $\ell_n$  modulo  $p$  is equal to

$$-2a^{2^n}k_n + a^{2^n+2^{n-1}-1} = a^{2^n}(a^{2^{n-1}-1} - 2k_n).$$

As  $p \nmid a$ , it remains to prove that  $t_n := 2k_n - a^{2^{n-1}-1}$  is not divisible by  $p$ . From (4.1) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= 2k_{n+1} - a^{2^n-1} = (b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})(t_n + a^{2^{n-1}-1}) + a^{2^n-1} \\ &= (b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})t_n + b^{2^{n-1}}a^{2^{n-1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $t_2 = 2b - a \equiv -a \pmod{p}$  and  $t_{n+1} \equiv -a^{2^{n-1}}t_n \pmod{p}$  for each  $n \geq 2$ . This implies  $p \nmid t_n$ .

Finally, in case (iii), the number  $\ell_n$  modulo  $p$  is equal to  $-a^{2^n}k_n$ , because  $p$  divides  $b^{2^n} - a^{2^n} = F_{n-1}(b^{2^{n-1}} - a^{2^{n-1}})$ . By Lemma 4, the numbers  $k_n$  and  $F_{n-1}$  are coprime. Since  $F_{n-1}$  and  $a$  are coprime too, we conclude that  $p \nmid \ell_n$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a corollary of Lemma 4.1 in [2]:

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\theta \geq 1$  and  $\xi$  be real numbers. If there is a sequence  $p_n/q_n$  of rational numbers and some positive constants  $c_0, c_1, c_2$  such that*

$$q_n < q_{n+1} \leq c_0 q_n^\theta$$

and

$$\frac{c_1}{q_n^{1+\delta}} \leq \left| \xi - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| \leq \frac{c_2}{q_n^{1+\delta}}$$

for each  $n \geq n_0$  then

$$\mu(\xi) \leq \frac{(\delta + 1)\theta}{\delta}.$$

### 5 Proof of Theorem 1

Set  $\alpha := P(a/b)$ . By (1.1), we have  $\mu(T(a/b)) = \mu(\alpha)$ . Evidently,  $b > a^2$  implies  $|a/b| \leq 1/2$ . So, by Lemma 3 applied to  $x = a/b$ , we obtain

$$|\alpha - p_{k,m}/q_{k,m}| \asymp |a/b|^{2^{m+1}k}, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $p_{k,m} := b^{2^m k} P_{k,m}(a/b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_{k,m} := b^{2^m k} Q_{k,m}(a/b) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and

$$q_{k,m} \asymp b^{2^m k}. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, as in [6], fix  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider an increasing sequence  $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_{K,n})_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$  composed of all integers  $q_{k,m}$  with  $k$  odd in the range  $1 \leq k \leq 2^K - 1$  and  $m \geq m_0(K)$ . It is easy to see that if

$$\{a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots\} = \{2^m k \mid k = 1, \dots, 2^K - 1, m = m_0(K), m_0(K) + 1, \dots\},$$

then  $a_{n+1} \leq (1 + 2^{1-K})a_n$  for each sufficiently large  $n$  (see Lemma 2 in [6]). By (5.2), the  $n$ th element of the sequence  $\mathcal{Q}$ , namely,  $Q_{K,n}$ , is approximately  $b^{a_n}$ . Hence

$$Q_{K,n} < Q_{K,n+1} < Q_{K,n}^{1+2^{-K+2}} \quad (5.3)$$

for each sufficiently large  $n$ . Utilizing  $|a/b| = |a|/b = b^{\log |a|/\log b - 1}$  and (5.2), we obtain

$$|a/b|^{2^{m+1}k} \asymp q_{k,m}^{-2(1-\log |a|/\log b)}.$$

Thus, by (5.1), there are  $P_{K,n} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (corresponding to  $Q_{K,n}$ ) such that

$$|\alpha - P_{K,n}/Q_{K,n}| \asymp Q_{K,n}^{-2(1-\log |a|/\log b)},$$

where the constant in  $\asymp$  depends on  $K$  only. Now, by (5.3) and Lemma 6 with  $\delta := 1 - 2 \log |a|/\log b > 0$  and  $\theta := 1 + 2^{-K+2}$ , we deduce that

$$\mu(\alpha) \leq \frac{(\delta + 1)\theta}{\delta} = (1 + 2^{-K+2}) \frac{2 \log b - 2 \log |a|}{\log b - 2 \log |a|}.$$

Letting  $K \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive to the required result.

### 6 Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose  $a = \pm 1$ ,  $b \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Inserting  $x = a/b$  into (3.7) we obtain

$$b^{-3 \cdot 2^n + 1} |P_n(a/b)| < |T(a/b) - R_n(a/b)| < \frac{b^{-3 \cdot 2^n + 1} |P_n(a/b)|}{1 - b^{-2^n}}.$$

By (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(a/b) &= (1 - a/b) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - b^{-2^j}) = \frac{(1 - a/b) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - b^{-2^j})}{\prod_{j=n}^{\infty} (1 - b^{-2^j})} \\ &= \frac{(1 - a/b)P(b^{-2})}{P(b^{-2^n})}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\frac{b^{-3 \cdot 2^n + 1} (1 - a/b) P(b^{-2})}{P(b^{-2^n})} < \left| T\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - R_n\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \right| < \frac{b^{-3 \cdot 2^n + 1} (1 - a/b) P(b^{-2})}{(1 - b^{-2^n}) P(b^{-2^n})}. \quad (6.1)$$

By Lemma 5, the denominator of  $R_n(a, b) = p_n(a, b)/q_n(a, b)$  is

$$q_n(a, b) := (b - a)b^{2^n - 2}F_{n-1} = b^{2^n - 2}(b - a)(b^{2^{n-1}} + 1).$$

As  $q_n(a, b) \sim b^{3 \cdot 2^{n-1} - 1}(1 - a/b)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , using (6.1), we find that

$$q_n(a, b)^2 \left| T(a/b) - \frac{p_n(a, b)}{q_n(a, b)} \right| < \frac{1}{C(a, b) - \epsilon} \quad (6.2)$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $n \geq n(\epsilon)$ . This is less than  $1/2$  for each pair  $(a, b)$  (see the table in Section 1), where  $a = \pm 1$  and  $(a, b) \neq (-1, 2), (-1, 3)$ . So  $p_n(a, b)/q_n(a, b)$  is a convergent to  $T(a/b)$  for each sufficiently large  $n$ , namely,  $p_n(a, b)/q_n(a, b) = p_m/q_m$ , where  $m = m(n)$  and  $p_m/q_m$  is the  $m$ th convergent to  $T(a/b) = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ .

On the other hand, as

$$q_n(a, b)^2 = b^{3 \cdot 2^n - 2} (1 - a/b)^2 (1 + b^{-2^{n-1}})^2 > b^{3 \cdot 2^n - 2} (1 - a/b)^2 P(b^{-2^n}),$$

from (6.1) we find that

$$q_m^2 \left| T(a/b) - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| = q_n(a, b)^2 \left| T(a/b) - \frac{p_n(a, b)}{q_n(a, b)} \right| > \frac{(1 - a/b)^3 P(b^{-2})}{b} = \frac{1}{C(a, b)}.$$

Combining this with (6.2) and applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$C(a, b) - \epsilon < [a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+3}, \dots] + [0, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1] < C(a, b)$$

for each of those  $m$ . Since

$$a_{m+1} < [a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+3}, \dots] + [0, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1] < a_{m+1} + 2,$$

it follows that  $C(a, b) - 2 - \epsilon < a_{m+1} < C(a, b)$ . As  $C(a, b) \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , by selecting  $\epsilon$  small enough, we obtain  $a_{m+1} \in \{c - 1, c\}$ , where  $c = \lfloor C(a, b) \rfloor$ , which completes the proof of the theorem for  $(a, b) \neq (-1, 2), (-1, 3)$ .

In case  $a/b = -1/3$ , we have  $C(-1, 3) = 81/64P(1/9) = 1.44\dots$ . Assume that  $T(-1/3) = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  has only finitely many partial quotients smaller than or equal to 3. Then, by Theorem 3 with  $t = 4$  and (6.2) with  $a = -1$ ,  $b = 3$ , we find that  $p_n(-1, 3)/q_n(-1, 3)$  is a

convergent to  $T(-1/3)$  for  $n$  large enough, i.e.  $p_n(-1, 3)/q_n(-1, 3)$  is equal to  $p_m/q_m$ , where  $m = m(n)$  and  $p_m/q_m$  is the  $m$ th convergent to  $T(-1/3)$ . As above, using Lemma 1, we derive that

$$a_{m+1} < [a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+3}, \dots] + [0, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1] < C(-1, 3) = 1.44\dots$$

It follows that  $a_{m+1} = 1$  for each of those  $m$ , which contradicts to our assumption.

**Acknowledgement.** I thank the referee for pointing out some references related to Theorem 3. This work is supported by grant no. MIP-068/2013/LSS-110000-740 from the Research Council of Lithuania.

## References

- [1] B. ADAMCZEWSKI AND J. CASSAIGNE, Diophantine properties of real numbers generated by finite automata, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), pp.1351–pp.1372.
- [2] B. ADAMCZEWSKI AND T. RIVOAL, Irrationality measures for some automatic real numbers, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **147** (2009), pp.659–pp.678.
- [3] J.-P. ALLOUCHE, J. PAYRIÈRE, Z.-X. WEN AND Z.-Y. WEN, Hankel determinants of the Thue–Morse sequence, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **48** (1998), pp.1–pp.27.
- [4] J.-P. ALLOUCHE AND J. SHALLIT, The ubiquitous Prouhet–Thue–Morse sequence, in: C. Ding, et al. (Eds.), *Sequences and their Applications. Proceedings of the International Conference SETA '98, Singapore*, December 14–17, 1998, London, Springer, Springer Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, Berlin, 1999, pp.1–pp.16.
- [5] J.-P. ALLOUCHE AND J. SHALLIT, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [6] Y. BUGEAUD, On the irrationality exponent of the Thue–Morse–Mahler numbers, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **61** (2011), pp.2065–pp.2076.
- [7] Y. BUGEAUD AND M. QUEFFÉLEC, On rational approximation of the binary Thue–Morse–Mahler number, *Journal of Integer Sequences* **16** (2013), Article 13.2.3, 8 p. (electronic).
- [8] E.B. BURGER, *Exploring the number jungle: A journey into diophantine analysis*, Student Mathematical Library **8**, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [9] A. DUJELLA, Continued fractions and RSA with small secret exponents, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **29** (2004), pp.101–pp.112.
- [10] A. DUJELLA AND B. IBRAHIMPAŠIĆ, On Worley’s theorem in Diophantine approximations, *Ann. Math. Inform.* **35** (2008), pp.61–pp.73.
- [11] P. FATOU, Sur l’approximation des incommensurables et les series trigonometriques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **139** (1904), pp.1019–pp.1021.
- [12] S. LANG, *Introduction to diophantine approximations*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1966.

- [13] L. LORENTZEN AND H. WAADELAND, *Continued fractions with applications*, Studies in Computational Mathematics **8**, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1992.
- [14] K. MAHLER, Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Lösungen einer Klasse von Funktionalgleichungen, *Math. Ann.* **101** (1929), pp.342–pp.366; corrigendum **103** (1930), pp.532.
- [15] J. STEUDING, *Diophantine analysis*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2005.
- [16] R.T. WORLEY, Estimating  $|\alpha - p/q|$ , *J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A* **31** (1981), pp.202–pp.206.

Received: 16.05.2013,

Revised: 20.07.2013,

Accepted: 10.01.2014.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics,  
Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24,  
Vilnius LT-03225, Lithuania  
Email: arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt