Certain generalizations of Eneström-Kakeya theorem

by Vinay Kumar Jain

Abstract

We extend the classical Eneström-Kakeya Theorem to some classes of lacunary polynomials with complex coefficients.

Key Words: Lacunary polynomials, increasing moduli of coefficients, increasing real parts of coefficients.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C15, Secondary 30C10.

1 Introduction

The following result ([1], [3], [4, p. 136, Th. (30,3)]) is well known in the theory of the distribution of zeros of polynomials.

Theorem A. (Eneström-Kakeya theorem). If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$ is a polynomial of degree n such that

$$a_n \ge a_{n-1} \ge a_{n-2} \ge \ldots \ge a_1 \ge a_0 > 0$$
,

then f(z) will have all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$.

In [2], Govil and Rahman considered polynomials, with complex coefficients, (i) with increasing moduli of coefficients and (ii) with increasing real parts of coefficients, (real parts of the coefficients, being assumed to be non-negative), and obtained the following generalizations of Eneström-Kakeya theorem.

Theorem B. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$ be a polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients such that

$$|\arg a_k - \beta| \le \alpha \le \pi/2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for some real β and

$$|a_n| \ge |a_{n-1}| \ge |a_{n-2}| \ge \dots \ge |a_0|$$
.

Then f(z) has all its zeros on or inside the circle

$$|z| = \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha + \frac{2\sin \alpha}{|a_n|} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k|.$$

Theorem C. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$ be a polynomial of degree n. If

Re
$$a_k = \alpha_k$$
, Im $a_k = \beta_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n$

and

$$\alpha_n \ge \alpha_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_0 \ge 0, \ \alpha_n > 0$$

then f(z) has all its zeros in or on the circle

$$|z| = 1 + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_n}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\beta_k|\right).$$

In this paper we consider some classes of lacunary polynomials and obtain two results that are analogous to Theorem B and Theorem C. More precisely, we will prove the following two results.

Theorem 1. Let $n, n_0, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ be non-negative integers such that

$$0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k = n \tag{1}$$

and let

$$p(z) = a_{n_0} z^{n_0} + a_{n_1} z^{n_1} + a_{n_2} z^{n_2} + \ldots + a_{n_k} z^{n_k}$$

be a polynomial of degree n such that

$$(i) \ 0 < |a_{n_0}| \le |a_{n_1}| \le |a_{n_2}| \le \dots \le |a_{n_k}|, \tag{2}$$

(ii) for certain real numbers α and β

$$|arg \ a_{n,i} - \beta| \le \alpha \le \pi/2, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$$
 (3)

and

(iii) no two adjacent coefficients a_{n_j} 's (j = 0, 1, ..., k) are equal i.e.

$$a_{n_0} \neq a_{n_1}, a_{n_1} \neq a_{n_2}, \dots, a_{n_{k-1}} \neq a_{n_k}.$$
 (4)

Further let

$$M_{n_j} = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{a_{n_j} z^{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}} z^{n_{j-1}+1}}{a_{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}}} \right|, j = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$
 (5)

with

$$M = \max_{1 \le j \le k} M_{n_j}. \tag{6}$$

Then all the zeros of p(z) lie in

$$|z| \le M \left\{ \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha + \frac{2 \sin \alpha}{|a_n|} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |a_{n_j}| \right\}.$$

Theorem 2. Let $n, n_0, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ be non-negative integers such that

$$0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k = n$$

and let

$$p(z) = a_{n_0}z^{n_0} + a_{n_1}z^{n_1} + a_{n_2}z^{n_2} + \ldots + a_{n_k}z^{n_k}$$

be a polynomial of degree n such that

(i)
$$a_{n_j} = \alpha_{n_j} + i\beta_{n_j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$
 (7)

$$(ii) \ 0 \le \alpha_{n_0} \le \alpha_{n_1} \le \alpha_{n_2} \le \dots \le \alpha_{n_k}, \alpha_n > 0$$
(8)

and

(iii) no two adjacent coefficients a_{n_j} 's (j = 0, 1, ..., k) are equal i.e.

$$a_{n_0} \neq a_{n_1}, a_{n_1} \neq a_{n_2}, \dots, a_{n_{k-1}} \neq a_{n_k}.$$

Further let

$$M_{n_i}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

and M, be as in Theorem 1. Then all the zeros of p(z) lie in

$$|z| \le M \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=0}^k |\beta_{n_j}|\right).$$

2 Required lemmas

For the proofs of the theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n then for $R \geq 1$

$$\max_{|z|=R}|p(z)| \le R^n \left(\max_{|z|=1}|p(z)| \right),$$

with equality only for $p(z) = \lambda z^n$.

Proof of Lemma 1. It is a simple consequence of maximum modulus principle.

Lemma 2. If a_j and a_{j-1} are two complex numbers with

$$|Arg \ a_j - \beta| \le \alpha \le \pi/2,$$

 $|Arg \ a_{j-1} - \beta| \le \alpha \le \pi/2,$

for certain real β and α then

$$|a_j - a_{j-1}|^2 \le (|a_j| - |a_{j-1}|)^2 \cos^2 \alpha + (|a_j| + |a_{j-1}|)^2 \sin^2 \alpha.$$

This lemma is due to Govil and Rahman [2, Proof of Theorem 2].

Lemma 3. Under the same hypothesis as in Lemma 2

$$|a_j - a_{j-1}| \le ||a_j| - |a_{j-1}|| \cos \alpha + (|a_j| + |a_{j-1}|) \sin \alpha.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. It follows easily from Lemma 2.

3 Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$(1-z)p(z) = -a_n z^{n+1} + (a_{n_k} z^{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}+1}) + (a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}} z^{n_{k-2}+1}) + \dots + (a_{n_1} z^{n_1} - a_{n_0} z^{n_0+1}) + a_{n_0} z^{n_0},$$

$$= -a_n z^{n+1} + p_1(z), \text{ say.}$$

$$(10)$$

Now for |z| = R(>1)

$$|p_{1}(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} |a_{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}}| \frac{a_{n_{j}} z^{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}} z^{n_{j-1}+1}}{a_{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}}} | + |a_{n_{0}}| R^{n_{0}},$$

$$(\text{by (9) and (10)}),$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} |a_{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}}| M_{n_{j}} R^{n_{j}} + |a_{n_{0}}| R^{n_{0}},$$

$$(\text{by (5) and Lemma 1)}.$$

$$(11)$$

But

$$M_{n_s} \ge 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ (by (5))}$$

and therefore

$$M \ge 1$$
, (by (6)),

which, by (11), (6) and (1), implies that for |z| = R(>1)

$$|p_{1}(z)| \leq MR^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} |a_{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}}| + |a_{n_{0}}| \right\},$$

$$\leq MR^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (|a_{n_{j}}| - |a_{n_{j-1}}|) \cos \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (|a_{n_{j-1}}| + |a_{n_{j}}|) \sin \alpha + |a_{n_{0}}| (\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha) \right\},$$

$$= MR^{n} \left\{ |a_{n}| (\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha) + 2 \sin \alpha (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |a_{n_{j}}|) \right\}.$$

$$(13)$$

Further, by (10), we have for |z| = R(>1)

$$|(1-z)p(z)| \geq |a_n|R^{n+1} - |p_1(z)|,$$

$$\geq |a_n|R^{n+1} - MR^n \Big\{ |a_n|(\cos\alpha + \sin\alpha) + 2\sin\alpha \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |a_{n_j}| \Big) \Big\}, \text{ (by (14))},$$

$$> 0.$$

for

$$R > M \left\{ \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha + \frac{2 \sin \alpha}{|a_n|} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |a_{n_j}| \right) \right\},\,$$

and Theorem 1 follows.

Remark 1. In some cases, even if (iii) (i.e. relation (4)) is not satisfied, we can proceed, as in the proof, with a minor modification, to get (13), thereby allowing us to complete the proof of Theorem 1. For instance, let

$$a_{n_0} = a_{n_1}, a_{n_1} \neq a_{n_2}, a_{n_2} \neq a_{n_3}, a_{n_3} = a_{n_4}, a_{n_4} = a_{n_5},$$

$$a_{n_5} \neq a_{n_6}, a_{n_6} \neq a_{n_7}, \dots, a_{n_{k-2}} \neq a_{n_{k-1}}, a_{n_{k-1}} = a_{n_k}.$$

For the possibility under consideration, we will not consider $M_{n_3}, M_{n_4}, M_{n_{k-1}}$ (involved in (5)) and will have

$$M_{n_1} = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{a_{n_0} - a_{n_0} z^{n_0+1} + a_{n_1} z^{n_1}}{a_{n_0} - a_{n_0} + a_{n_1}} \right|, \text{ (instead of the expression in (5))},$$
 (16)

$$\geq 1,$$
 (17)

$$M_{n_2}, \qquad as \ in \ (5), \tag{18}$$

$$M_{n_5} = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{a_{n_5} z^{n_5} - a_{n_4} z^{n_4+1} + a_{n_4} z^{n_4} - a_{n_3} z^{n_3+1} + a_{n_3} z^{n_3} - a_{n_2} z^{n_2+1}}{a_{n_5} - a_{n_4} + a_{n_4} - a_{n_3} + a_{n_3} - a_{n_2}} \right|, \quad (19)$$
(instead of the expression in (5)),

$$\geq 1, \tag{20}$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c}
 M_{n_{6}}, \\
 M_{n_{7}}, \\
 \vdots \\
 M_{n_{k-2}},
 \end{array}\right\}, (as\ in\ (5)), \tag{21}$$

$$M_{n_{k}} = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{a_{n_{k}} z^{n_{k}} - a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}+1} + a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}} z^{n_{k-2}+1}}{a_{n_{k}} - a_{n_{k-1}} + a_{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}}} \right|,$$
(22)
$$(instead of the expression in (5)),$$

$$\geq 1,$$
 (23)

$$M = \max(M_{n_1}, M_{n_2}, M_{n_5}, M_{n_6}, \dots, M_{n_{k-2}}, M_{n_k}),$$
(instead of the expression in (6)),
$$\geq 1, (by (17), (20), (23) \text{ and } (12)),$$
(24)

$$\begin{split} (1-z)p(z) &= -a_n z^{n+1} + (a_{n_k} z^{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}+1} + a_{n_{k-1}} z^{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}} z^{n_{k-2}+1}) \\ &+ (a_{n_{k-2}} z^{n_{k-2}} - a_{n_{k-3}} z^{n_{k-3}+1}) + \ldots + (a_{n_6} z^{n_6} - a_{n_5} z^{n_5+1}) \\ &+ (a_{n_5} z^{n_5} - a_{n_4} z^{n_4+1} + a_{n_4} z^{n_4} - a_{n_3} z^{n_3+1} + a_{n_3} z^{n_3} - a_{n_2} z^{n_2+1}) \\ &+ (a_{n_2} z^{n_2} - a_{n_1} z^{n_1+1}) + (a_{n_1} z^{n_1} - a_{n_0} z^{n_0+1} + a_{n_0}), \\ &= -a_n z^{n+1} + p_1(z), \end{split}$$

thereby helping us to write, (as in proof of Theorem 1), for |z| = R(>1)

$$\begin{aligned} |p_1(z)| & \leq & |a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} + a_{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}}|M_{n_k}R^{n_k} + \\ & \sum_{j=6}^{k-2} |a_{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}}|M_{n_j}R^{n_j} + \\ & |a_{n_5} - a_{n_4} + a_{n_4} - a_{n_3} + a_{n_3} - a_{n_2}|M_{n_5}R^{n_5} + |a_{n_2} - a_{n_1}|M_{n_2}R^{n_2} \\ & + |a_{n_1} - a_{n_0} + a_{n_0}|M_{n_1}R^{n_1}, \\ & (by\ (16), (18), (19), (21), (22), (5)\ and\ Lemma\ 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq & MR^n \Big\{ |a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} + a_{n_{k-1}} - a_{n_{k-2}}| + \sum_{j=6}^{k-2} |a_{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}}| + \\ & |a_{n_5} - a_{n_4} + a_{n_4} - a_{n_3} + a_{n_3} - a_{n_2}| + |a_{n_2} - a_{n_1}| + \\ & |a_{n_1} - a_{n_0} + a_{n_0}| \Big\}, (by\ (24)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq & MR^n \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^k |a_{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}}| + |a_{n_0}| \Big\},$$

i.e. (13).

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have for |z| = R(>1)

$$|p_{1}(z)| \leq MR^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} |a_{n_{j}} - a_{n_{j-1}}| + |a_{n_{0}}| \right\}, \text{ (by (13))},$$

$$\leq MR^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\alpha_{n_{j}} - \alpha_{n_{j-1}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (|\beta_{n_{j-1}}| + |\beta_{n_{j}}|) + (\alpha_{n_{0}} + |\beta_{n_{0}}|) \right\},$$

$$\text{(by (7) and (8))},$$

$$\leq MR^{n} (\alpha_{n} + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k} |\beta_{n_{j}}|). \tag{25}$$

Further, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have for |z| = R(>1)

$$|(1-z)p(z)| \geq |a_n|R^{n+1} - |p_1(z)|, \text{ (by (15))},$$

$$\geq \alpha_n R^{n+1} - MR^n \left\{ \alpha_n + 2\sum_{j=0}^k |\beta_{n_j}| \right\},$$

$$(\text{by (7), (8) and (25))},$$

$$> 0,$$

for

$$R > M\left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha_n} \sum_{j=0}^k |\beta_{n_j}|\right),\,$$

and Theorem 2 follows.

Remark 2. Remark 1, associated with Theorem 1, is true for Theorem 2 also.

References

- [1] G.Eneström, Remarque sur un théorème relatif aux racines de l'équation $a_n x^n + \ldots + a_0 = 0$ où tous les coefficients sont réels et positifs, Tôhoku Math. J. 18 (1920), 34–36. Translation of a Swedish article in Öfversigt af Konigl. Vetenskaps Akademiens Förhandlingar. 50 (1893), 405–415.
- [2] GOVIL, N. K. AND RAHMAN, Q.I., On the Eneström-Kakeya theorem, Tôhoku Math. J. 20 (1968), 126–136.
- [3] Kakeya, S., On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equation with positive coefficients, Tôhoku Math. J. 2 (1912-1913), 140-142.

[4] Marden, M., Geometry of polynomials, Math. Surveys No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1966.

Received: 11.05.2012, Accepted: 23.05.2012.

Mathematics Department, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur - 721302, India

E-mail: vkj@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in