

Existence of positive solutions for $(p(x), q(x))$ Laplacian system

by

SAMIRA ALA¹, GHASEM ALIZADEH AFROUZI² AND ASADOLLAH NIKNAM³

Abstract

We consider the system of differential equations

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = \lambda_1^{p(x)}g(x)a(u) + \mu_1^{p(x)}c(x)f(v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{q(x)}v = \lambda_2^{q(x)}g(x)b(v) + \mu_2^{q(x)}c(x)h(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p(x), q(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ are functions, the operator $\Delta_{p(x)}u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$ is called $p(x)$ -Laplacian $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$ and μ_2 are positive parameters and g, c are continuous functions and f, h, a, b are C^1 nondecreasing functions satisfying $f(0), h(0), a(0), b(0) \geq 0$. We discuss the existence of positive solution via sub-super solutions.

Key Words: Positive solutions; $p(x)$ -Laplacian Problems; sub-supersolution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34B15,

Secondary 35B38, 58E05.

1 Introduction

The study of differential equations and variational problems with variable exponent has been a new and interesting topic. It arises from nonlinear elasticity theory, electrorheological fluids, etc.(see [3, 10, 13]). Many results have been obtained on this kind of problems, for example [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9]. In [2], the authors discussed the existence of at least one positive solution of the system

$$(I) \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = \lambda^{p(x)}F(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p(x)}v = \lambda^{p(x)}G(x, u, v) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $p(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is a function, $F(x, u, v) = [g(x)a(u) + f(v)]$, $G(x, u, v) = [g(x)b(v) + h(u)]$, λ is a positive parameter and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. But in the present paper we extend the problem (I) to problem (P). In this paper, we mainly consider the existence of positive weak

solutions for the problem (P) and we have proved the existence of at least one positive solution for the problem (P).

To study $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems, we need some theory on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and properties of $p(x)$ -Laplacian which we will use later (see [5, 11]). If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open domain, we write

$$C_+(\Omega) = \{h : h \in C(\Omega), h(x) > 1 \text{ for } x \in \Omega\}$$

$$h^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} h(x), \quad h^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x), \quad \text{for any } h \in C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Throughout the paper, we will assume that:

(H₁) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open bounded domain with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$.

(H₂) $p(x), q(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ are functions and $1 < p^- \leq p^+$ and $1 < q^- \leq q^+$.

(H₃) $a, b, f, h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are C^1 , nondecreasing functions such that $f(0), h(0), a(0), b(0) \geq 0$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} a(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} b(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} h(u) = +\infty$.

(H₄) $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f[M(h(u))^{\frac{1}{p^- - 1}}]}{u^{p^- - 1}} = 0, \quad \forall M > 0.$

(H₅) $g, c : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ are continuous functions such that $A_1 = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x), \quad A_2 = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} g(x), \quad B_1 = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} c(x), \quad B_2 = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} c(x).$

(H₆) $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a(u)}{u^{p^- - 1}} = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{b(u)}{u^{p^- - 1}} = 0.$

Definition 1. If $(u, v) \in (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega))$, (u, v) is called a weak solution of (P) if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1^{p(x)} g(x) a(u) + \mu_1^{p(x)} c(x) f(v) \varphi dx, & \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{q(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \psi dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2^{q(x)} g(x) b(v) + \mu_2^{q(x)} c(x) h(u) \psi dx, & \forall \psi \in W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. (Comparison Principle).

Let $u, v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ satisfying $Au - Av \geq 0$ in $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$, $\varphi(x) = \min\{u(x) - v(x), 0\}$. If $\varphi(x) \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ (i.e. $u \geq v$ on $\partial\Omega$), then $u \geq v$ a.e. in Ω .

Here and hereafter, we will use the notation $d(x, \partial\Omega)$ to denote the distance of $x \in \Omega$ to the boundary of Ω .

Denote $d(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $\partial\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Omega) < \epsilon\}$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 regularly, then there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(x) \in C^2(\partial\Omega_{3\delta})$, and $|\nabla d(x)| \equiv 1$.

Denote

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma d(x), & d(x) < \delta, \\ \gamma \delta + \int_{\delta}^{d(x)} \gamma \left(\frac{2\delta-t}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^- - 1}} (\lambda_1^{p^+} A_1 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_1)^{\frac{2}{p^- - 1}} dt, & \delta \leq d(x) < 2\delta, \\ \gamma \delta + \int_{\delta}^{2\delta} \gamma \left(\frac{2\delta-t}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^- - 1}} (\lambda_1^{p^+} A_1 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_1)^{\frac{2}{p^- - 1}} dt, & 2\delta \leq d(x). \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $0 \leq v_1(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Considering

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}w(x) = \eta \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1)$$

we have the following result

Lemma 2. (see [7]). *If positive parameter η is large enough and w is the unique solution of (1), then we have*

(i) *For any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a positive constant C_1 such that*

$$C_1\eta^{\frac{1}{p^+-1+\theta}} \leq \max_{x \in \Omega} w(x);$$

(ii) *There exists a positive constant C_2 such that*

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} w(x) \leq C_2\eta^{\frac{1}{p^--1}}$$

2 Existence results

In the following, when there be no misunderstanding, we always use C_i to denote positive constants.

Theorem 1. *On the conditions of $(H_1) - (H_6)$, then (P) has a positive solution.*

Proof: We shall establish Theorem 1 by constructing a positive subsolution (Φ_1, Φ_2) and supersolution (z_1, z_2) of (P), such that $\Phi_1 \leq z_1$ and $\Phi_2 \leq z_2$.

According to the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations (see [8]), then (P) has a positive solution.

Step 1. We construct a subsolution of (P).

Let $\sigma \in (0, \delta)$ is small enough. Denote

$$\phi_1(x) = \begin{cases} e^{kd(x)} - 1, & d(x) < \sigma, \\ e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_{\sigma}^{d(x)} ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^--1}} dt, & \sigma \leq d(x) < 2\delta, \\ e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_{\sigma}^{2\delta} ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{p^--1}} dt, & 2\delta \leq d(x). \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \begin{cases} e^{kd(x)} - 1, & d(x) < \sigma, \\ e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_{\sigma}^{d(x)} ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^--1}} dt, & \sigma \leq d(x) < 2\delta, \\ e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_{\sigma}^{2\delta} ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{q^--1}} dt, & 2\delta \leq d(x). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Denote

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ \frac{\inf p(x) - 1}{4(\sup |\nabla p(x)| + 1)}, \frac{\inf q(x) - 1}{4(\sup |\nabla q(x)| + 1)}, 1 \right\}.$$

By computation

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 = \begin{cases} -k(ke^{kd(x)})^{p(x)-1} [(p(x)-1) + (d(x) + \frac{\ln k}{k}) \nabla p \nabla d + \frac{\Delta d}{k}], & d(x) < \sigma, \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{2\delta-\sigma} \frac{2(p(x)-1)}{p^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} - \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right) \left[\left(\ln ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \right) \nabla p \nabla d + \Delta d \right] \right\} \\ \times (ke^{k\sigma})^{p(x)-1} \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{2(p(x)-1)}{p-1}-1}, & \sigma < d(x) < 2\delta, \\ 0, & 2\delta < d(x). \end{cases}$$

From (H_3) and (H_4) , there exists a positive constant $M > 1$ such that

$$f(M-1) \geq 1, \quad h(M-1) \geq 1, \quad a(M-1) \geq 1, \quad b(M-1) \geq 1.$$

Let $\sigma = \frac{1}{k} \ln M$, then

$$\sigma k = \ln M. \tag{2}$$

If k is sufficiently large, from (2), we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 \leq -k^{p(x)}\alpha, \quad d(x) < \sigma. \tag{3}$$

Let $k\alpha = (\lambda_1 A_1 + \mu_1 B_1)$, then

$$k^{p(x)}\alpha \geq -(\lambda_1^{p(x)} A_1 + \mu_1^{p(x)} B_1)$$

from (3), then we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)} A_1 + \mu_1^{p(x)} B_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)} g(x)a(\phi_1) + \mu_1^{p(x)} c(x)f(\phi_2), \quad d(x) < \sigma. \tag{4}$$

Since $d(x) \in C^2(\overline{\partial\Omega_{3\delta}})$, then there exists a positive constant C_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 &\leq (ke^{k\sigma})^{p(x)-1} \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{2(p(x)-1)}{p-1}-1} \\ &\cdot \left| \left\{ \frac{2(p(x)-1)}{(2\delta-\sigma)(p-1)} - \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right) \left[\left(\ln ke^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta-d}{2\delta-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \right) \nabla p \nabla d + \Delta d \right] \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C_3 (ke^{k\sigma})^{p(x)-1} \ln k, \quad \sigma < d(x) < 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

If k is sufficiently large, let $k\alpha = (\lambda_1 A_1 + \mu_1 B_1)$, we have

$$C_3 (ke^{k\sigma})^{p(x)-1} \ln k = C_3 (kM)^{p(x)-1} \ln k \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)} A_1 + \mu_1^{p(x)} B_1.$$

then

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)} A_1 + \mu_1^{p(x)} B_1, \quad \sigma < d(x) < 2\delta.$$

Since $\phi_1(x) \geq 0$ and a, f are nondecreasing, then we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)} g(x)a(\phi_1) + \mu_1^{p(x)} c(x)f(\phi_2), \quad \sigma < d(x) < 2\delta. \tag{5}$$

Obviously

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 = 0 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)}A_1 + \mu_1^{p(x)}B_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)}g(x)a(\phi_1) + \mu_1^{p(x)}c(x)f(\phi_2), \quad 2\delta < d(x). \quad (6)$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6), we can conclude that

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}\phi_1 \leq \lambda_1^{p(x)}g(x)a(\phi_1) + \mu_1^{p(x)}c(x)f(\phi_2), \quad a.e. \text{ on } \Omega. \quad (7)$$

Similarly

$$-\Delta_{q(x)}\phi_2 \leq \lambda_2^{q(x)}g(x)b(\phi_2) + \mu_2^{q(x)}c(x)h(\phi_1), \quad a.e. \text{ on } \Omega. \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8), we can see that (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is a subsolution of (P).

Step 2. We construct a supersolution of (P).

We consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}z_1 = (\lambda_1^{p^+}A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+}B_2)\mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{q(x)}z_2 = (\lambda_2^{q^+}A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+}B_2)h\left(\beta\left[(\lambda_1^{p^+}A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+}B_2)\mu\right]\right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z_1 = z_2 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta = \beta((\lambda_1^{p^+}A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+}B_2)\mu) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} z_1(x)$. We shall prove that (z_1, z_2) is a supersolution for (P).

From Lemma 2, we have

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} z_1(x) \leq C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+}A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+}B_2)\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}}$$

and

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} z_2(x) \leq C_2 \left(\lambda_2^{q^+}A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+}B_2 \right) h \left(\left[(\lambda_1^{p^+}A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+}B_2)\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right)$$

For $\psi \in W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\psi \geq 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{q(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \psi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 h \left(\beta \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right] \right) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2^{q^+} B_2 h(z_1) \psi dx. \quad (9)$$

Since $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f[M(h(u))^{\frac{1}{(p^+-1)}}]}{u^{p^+-1}} = 0$, when μ is sufficiently large, combining Lemma 2 and (H₆), then we have

$$h \left(\beta \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right] \right) \geq b(z_2) \quad (10)$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_2|^{q(x)-2} \nabla z_2 \cdot \nabla \psi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda_2^{q^+} g(x) b(z_2) \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2^{q^+} c(x) h(z_1) \psi dx. \quad (11)$$

Also

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \varphi dx$$

By (H_4) , (H_6) , when μ is sufficiently large, combining Lemma 2 and (H_6) , we have

$$(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \geq \left(\frac{1}{C_2} \beta [(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu] \right)^{p^- - 1} \geq \lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 a(z_1) + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2 f(z_2)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_1|^{p(x)-2} \nabla z_1 \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda_1^{p^+} g(x) a(z_1) \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1^{p^+} c(x) f(z_2) \varphi dx. \quad (12)$$

According to (11) and (12), we can conclude that (z_1, z_2) is a supersolution for (P).

It only remains to prove that $\phi_1 \leq z_1$ and $\phi_2 \leq z_2$.

In the definition of $v_1(x)$, let $\gamma = \frac{2}{\delta} (\max_{x \in \Omega} \phi(x) + \max_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla_1 \phi(x)|)$. We claim that

$$\phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (13)$$

From the definition of v_1 , it is easy to see that

$$\phi_1(x) \leq 2 \max_{x \in \Omega} \phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x), \quad \text{when } d(x) = \delta,$$

and

$$\phi_1(x) \leq 2 \max_{x \in \Omega} \phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x), \quad \text{when } d(x) \geq \delta.$$

It only remains to prove that

$$\phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x), \quad \text{when } d(x) < \delta.$$

Since $v_1 - \phi_1 \in C^1(\overline{\partial\Omega_\delta})$, then there exists a point $x_0 \in \overline{\partial\Omega_\delta}$ such that

$$v_1(x_0) - \phi_1(x_0) = \min_{x_0 \in \overline{\partial\Omega_\delta}} [v_1(x) - \phi_1(x)].$$

If $v_1(x_0) - \phi_1(x_0) < 0$, it is easy to see that $0 < d(x_0) < \delta$, and then

$$\nabla v_1(x_0) - \nabla \phi_1(x_0) = 0.$$

From the definition of v_1 , we have

$$|\nabla v_1(x_0)| = \gamma = \frac{2}{\delta} (\max_{x \in \Omega} \phi_1(x) + \max_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla \phi_1(x)|) > |\nabla \phi_1(x_0)|.$$

It is a contradiction to $\nabla v_1(x_0) - \nabla \phi_1(x_0) = 0$. Thus (13) is valid.

Obviously, there exists a positive constant C_4 such that

$$\gamma \leq C_4(\lambda_1 + \mu_1).$$

Since $d(x) \in C^2(\overline{\partial\Omega_{3\delta}})$, according to the proof of Lemma 2, then there exist positive constant C_5, C_6 such that

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}v_1(x) \leq C_*\gamma^{p(x)-1+\theta} \leq C_5\lambda^{p(x)-1+\theta} + C_6\mu_1^{p(x)-1+\theta}, \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ where } \theta \in (0, 1).$$

When $\eta \geq \lambda_1^{p^+} + \mu_1^{p^+}$ is large enough, we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)}v_1(x) \leq \eta.$$

According to the comparison principle, we have

$$v_1(x) \leq w(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14), when $\eta \geq \lambda_1^{p^+} + \mu_1^{p^+}$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$\phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x) \leq w(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (15)$$

According to the comparison principle, when μ is large enough, we have

$$v_1(x) \leq w(x) \leq z_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Combining the definition of $v_1(x)$ and (15), it is easy to see that

$$\phi_1(x) \leq v_1(x) \leq w(x) \leq z_1(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

When $\mu \geq 1$ and $\lambda_1 + \mu_1$ is large enough, from Lemma 2, we can see that $\beta[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2)\mu]$ is large enough, then $(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2)h\left(\beta[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2)\mu]\right)$ is large enough. Similarly, we have $\phi_2 \leq z_2$.

This completes the proof. \square

3 Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions

In this section, when parameters $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2 \rightarrow +\infty$, we will discuss the asymptotic behavior of maximum of solutions about parameters $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2$ and the asymptotic behavior of solutions near boundary about parameters $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2$.

Theorem 2. *On the conditions of $(H_1) - (H_6)$, if (u, v) is a solution of (P) which has been given in Theorem 1, then*

(i) *There exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$C_1(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \leq \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x) \leq C_2 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+ - 1}} \quad (16)$$

$$C_1(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \leq \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} v(x) \leq C_2 \left\{ (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+ - 1}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q^+ - 1}} \quad (17)$$

(ii) for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, there exist positive constants C_3 and C_4 such that

$$C_3(\lambda_1 + \mu_1)d(x) \leq u(x) \leq C_4 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}} (d(x))^\theta, \quad \text{as } d(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad (18)$$

$$C_3(\lambda_1 + \mu_1)d(x) \leq v(x) \leq C_4 \left\{ (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} (d(x))^\theta, \quad (19)$$

as $d(x) \rightarrow 0$,

where μ satisfies (10).

Proof: (i) Obvipsly, when $2\delta \leq d(x)$, we have

$$u(x) \geq \phi_1(x) = e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_\sigma^{2\delta} k e^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{p^+-1}} dt \geq \frac{(\lambda_1 A_1 + \mu_1 B_1)}{\alpha} \int_\sigma^{2\delta} M \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{p^+-1}} dt$$

$$v(x) \geq \phi_2(x) = e^{k\sigma} - 1 + \int_\sigma^{2\delta} k e^{k\sigma} \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{q^+-1}} dt \geq \frac{(\lambda_1 A_1 + \mu_1 B_1)}{\alpha} \int_\sigma^{2\delta} M \left(\frac{2\delta - t}{2\delta - \sigma} \right)^{\frac{2}{q^+-1}} dt$$

then there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$C_1(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \leq \max_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad C_1(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \leq \max_{x \in \Omega} v(x)$$

It is easy to see

$$u(x) \leq z_1(x) \leq \max_{x \in \Omega} z_1(x) \leq C_2 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}}$$

then

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \leq C_2 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}}$$

Similarly

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} v(x) \leq C_2 \left\{ (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}}$$

Thus (16) and (17) are valid.

(ii) Denote

$$v_3(x) = \alpha(d(x))^\theta, \quad d(x) \leq \rho,$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant, $\rho \in (0, \delta)$ is small enough. Obviously, $v_3(x) \in C^1(\Omega_\rho)$. By computation

$$-\Delta_{p(x)} v_3(x) = -(\alpha\theta)^{p(x)-1} (\theta - 1)(p(x) - 1)(d(x))^{(\theta-1)(p(x)-1)-1} (1 + \Pi(x)), \quad d(x) < \rho,$$

where

$$\Pi(x) = d \frac{(\nabla p \nabla d) \ln \alpha \theta}{(\theta - 1)(p(x) - 1)} + d \frac{(\nabla p \nabla d) \ln d}{(p(x) - 1)} + d \frac{\Delta d}{(\theta - 1)(p(x) - 1)}.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{\rho} C_2 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}}$, where $\rho > 0$ is small enough, it is easy to see that

$$(\alpha)^{p(x)-1} \geq (\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \quad \text{and} \quad |\Pi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

when $\rho > 0$ is small enough, then we have

$$-\Delta_{p(x)} v_3(x) \geq (\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu.$$

Obviously $v_3(x) \geq z_1(x)$ on $\partial\Omega_\rho$. According to the comparison principle, we have $v_3(x) \geq z_1(x)$ on Ω_ρ . Thus

$$u(x) \leq C_4 \left((\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+-1}} (d(x))^\theta, \quad \text{as } d(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{\rho} C_2 \left\{ (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}}$, when $\rho > 0$ is small enough, it is easy to see that

$$(\alpha)^{p(x)-1} \geq (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right).$$

Similarly, when $\rho > 0$ is small enough, we have

$$v(x) \leq C_4 \left\{ (\lambda_2^{q^+} A_2 + \mu_2^{q^+} B_2) h \left(C_2 \left[(\lambda_1^{p^+} A_2 + \mu_1^{p^+} B_2) \mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q^+-1}} \quad \text{as } d(x) \rightarrow 0$$

Obviously, when $d(x) < \sigma$, we have

$$u(x) \geq \phi_1(x) = e^{kd(x)} - 1 \geq C_3(\lambda_1 + \mu_1)d(x).$$

$$v(x) \geq \phi_2(x) = e^{kd(x)} - 1 \geq C_3(\lambda_1 + \mu_1)d(x).$$

Thus (18) and (19) are valid. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgement. *The authors would like to appreciate the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.*

References

[1] E. ACERBI, G.MINGIONE, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **156** (2001), 121-140.
 [2] S. ALA, G. A. AFROUZI, QIHU ZHUNG, A. NIKNAM, Existence of positive solutions for variable exponent elliptic Systems, *Boundary value problems* **2012:37** (2012), 1-12.
 [3] Y. CHEN, S.LEVINE, M.RAO, Variable exponent, Linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66(4)** (2006), 1383-1406.

- [4] M. CHEN, On Positive weak solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **62** (2005), 751-756.
- [5] X. L. FAN, D.ZHAO, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 424-446.
- [6] X. L. FAN, Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form, *J.Differential Equations* **235** (2007), 397-417.
- [7] X. L. FAN, On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* **330** (2007), 665-682.
- [8] X. L. FAN, Q. H. ZHANG, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **52** (2003), 1843-1852.
- [9] A. EL HAMIDI, Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **300** (2004), 30-42.
- [10] M. RUZICKA, *Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math*, vol.1784, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [11] S. G. SAMKO, Densness of $C_0^\infty(R^N)$ in the generalized Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p(x)}(R^N)$, *Dokl. Ross.Akad. Nauk* **369(4)** (1999), 451-454.
- [12] Q. H. ZHANG, Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for variable exponent elliptic systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), 305-316.
- [13] V. V. ZHIKOV, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR. Izv* **29** (1987), 33-36.

Received: 17.06.2012

Accepted: 25.10.2012

¹Department of Mathematics,
Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU) Tehran, Iran
E-mails: ala_samira@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran
E-mail: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

³Department of Mathematics,
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran
E-mail: dassamankin@yahoo.co.uk