The Exponential Diophantine Equation<br>$\left(\left(2^{2 m}-1\right) n\right)^{x}+\left(2^{m+1} n\right)^{y}=\left(\left(2^{2 m}+1\right) n\right)^{z}$<br>by<br>${ }^{1}$ Zhang Xinwen and ${ }^{2}$ Zhang Wenpeng


#### Abstract

Let $m, n$ be positive integers. Let $(a, b, c)$ be a primitive Pythagorean triplet with $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$. In 1956, L. Jeśmanowicz conjectured that the equation $(a n)^{x}+(b n)^{y}=(c n)^{z}$ has only the positive integer solution $(x, y, z)=(2,2,2)$. In this paper, using certain elementary methods, we prove that if $(a, b, c)=\left(2^{2 m}-1,2^{m+1}, 2^{2 m}+1\right)$, then the above equation has only the positive integer solution $(x, y, z)=(2,2,2)$. Thus it can be seen that Jeśmanowicz's conjecture is true for infinitely many primitive Pythagorean triplets.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}$ be the sets of all integers and positive integers respectively. Let $m, n$ be positive integers. Let $(a, b, c)$ be a primitive Pythagorean triplet such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}, a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1,2 \mid b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=u^{2}-v^{2}, b=2 u v, c=u^{2}+v^{2}, u, v \in \mathbb{N} \\
u>v, \operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1,2 \mid u v \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In 1956, L. Jeśmanowicz ${ }^{[2]}$ conjectured that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a n)^{x}+(b n)^{y}=(c n)^{z}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the solution $(x, y, z)=(2,2,2)$ for any $n$.
This conjecture has been proved to be true in many special cases (see [7] and its references). But, in general, the problem is not solved as yet.

Most of the results concerning the above conjecture deal with the case that $n=1$, and very little is known about (1.3) for $n>1$. In this paper, we discuss the case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=2^{m}, v=1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (1.4) into (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=2^{2 m}-1, b=2^{m+1}, c=2^{2 m}+1, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (1.5), the equation (1.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(2^{2 m}-1\right) n\right)^{x}+\left(2^{m+1} n\right)^{y}=\left(\left(2^{2 m}+1\right) n\right)^{z}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this connection, by an early result of W. -T. $\mathrm{Lu}^{[5]}$, (1.6) has only the solution $(x, y, z)=$ $(2,2,2)$ for $n=1$. In 1998, M. -J. Deng and G. L. Cohen ${ }^{[1]]}$ showed that if $m=1$, then (1.6) has only the solution $(x, y, z)=(2,2,2)$ for $n>1$. Recently, Z. -J. Yang and M. Tang ${ }^{[10]}$ proved a similar result for $m=2$. In this paper, using certain elementary methods, we prove a general result as follows.

Theorem 1. For any positive integers $m$ and $n$, (1.6) has only the solution $(x, y, z)=(2,2,2)$.
Thus it can be seen that Jeśmanowicz's conjecture is true for infinitely many primitive Pythagorean triplets.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $P(k)$ denote the product of all distinct prime divisors of $k$. Further let $P(1)=1$.

Lemma 2.1. ${ }^{[6]}$ Let $t$ be a positive integer. If $2^{t} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{k}-1\right)$, then $k \mid t$.
Lemma 2.2. Every solution $(X, Y, Z)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}+Y^{2}=Z^{k}, X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(X, Y)=1,2 \mid Y \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=A^{2}+B^{2}, A, B \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(A, B)=1,2 \mid B \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+Y \sqrt{-1}=\lambda_{1}\left(A+\lambda_{2} B \sqrt{-1}\right)^{k}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $2^{r}\left\|Y, 2^{s}\right\| k$ and $2^{t} \| B$, then $r>s$ and $r=s+t$.
Proof. By [8, Section 15.2], every solution $(X, Y, Z)$ of (2.1) can be expressed as (2.2) and (2.3). Further, by (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} B \sum_{i=0}^{[(k-1) / 2]}\binom{k}{2 i+1} A^{k-2 i-1}\left(-B^{2}\right)^{i}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[(k-1) / 2]$ is the integral part of $(k-1) / 2$.

By (2.2), we have $2 \nmid A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{s+t} \| \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\binom{k}{1} A^{k-1} B \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{s+3 t} \left\lvert\,(-1)^{i} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\binom{k}{2 i+1} A^{k-2 i-1} B^{2 i+1}\right. \\
= & (-1)^{i} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} k\binom{k-1}{2 i} \frac{A^{k-2 i-1} B^{2 i+1}}{2 i+1}, i \geq 1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{s+t} \| \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} B \sum_{i=0}^{[(k-1) / 2]}\binom{k}{2 i+1} A^{k-2 i-1}\left(-B^{2}\right)^{i} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2 \mid B$, we see from (2.4) and (2.7) that $r>s$ and $r=s+t$. The lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.3. Every solution $(X, Y, Z)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}+2 Y^{2}=Z^{k}, X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(X, Y)=1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=A^{2}+2 B^{2}, A, B \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(A, B)=1,2 \nmid A \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+Y \sqrt{-2}=\lambda_{1}\left(A+\lambda_{2} B \sqrt{-2}\right)^{k}, \quad \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $2^{r}\left\|Y, 2^{s}\right\| k$ and $2^{t} \| B$, then $r \geq s$ and $r=s+t$.
Proof. Notice that $h(-8)=1$, where $h(-8)$ is the class number of primitive binary quadratic forms of discriminant -8 . Therefore, by $[3$, Theorems 1 and 2], every solution $(X, Y, Z)$ of (2.8) can be expressed as (2.9) and (2.10). Further, by (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} B \sum_{i=0}^{[(k-1) / 2]}\binom{k}{2 i+1} A^{k-2 i-1}\left(-2 B^{2}\right)^{i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using the same method as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can get from (2.11) that $r \geq s$ and $r=s+t$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.4. ${ }^{[9]}$ If $k \geq 3$, then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{k}+Y^{k}=Z^{k}, X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solution $(X, Y, Z)$.
Lemma 2.5. ${ }^{[4]}$ If $n>1$ and $(x, y, z)$ is a solution of $(1.3)$ with $(x, y, z) \neq(2,2,2)$, then one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $\max \{x, y\}>\min \{x, y\}>z, P(n) \mid c$ and $P(n)<P(c)$.
(ii) $x>z>y$ and $P(n) \mid b$.
(iii) $y>z>x$ and $P(n) \mid a$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem

By the results of [1], [5] and [10], it suffices to prove the theorem for $m \geq 3$ and $n>1$. We now assume that $(x, y, z)$ is a solution of (1.6) with $(x, y, z) \neq(2,2,2)$. By Lemma 2.5, we only need to examine the following four cases:

Case I. $x>y>z, P(n) \mid 2^{2 m}+1$ and $P(n)<P\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)$.
Under these assumptions, by (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{2 m}+1=c_{1} c_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=1, c_{2}>1  \tag{3.1}\\
n^{y-z}=c_{1}^{z}, c_{1}>1 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{x} n^{x-y}+2^{(m+1) y}=c_{2}^{z} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{1}>1$ and every prime divisor $p$ of $2^{2 m}+1$ satisfies $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, we have $c_{1} \geq 5$ and $c_{2} \leq\left(2^{2 m}+1\right) / 5$ by (3.1). Therefore, by (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2^{2 m}+1}{5}\right)^{z} \geq c_{2}^{z}>\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{x}>\left(\frac{2^{2 m}+1}{2}\right)^{x}>\left(\frac{2^{2 m}+1}{2}\right)^{z} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction.
Case II. $y>x>z, P(n) \mid 2^{2 m}+1$ and $P(n)<P\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)$.
Using the same method as in the proof of Case I, we can exclude this case immediately.
Case III. $x>z>y$ and $P(n) \mid b$.
Since $n>1$, we get from (1.6) that $P(n)=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{z-y}=2^{(m+1) y} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{x} n^{x-z}+1=\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{z} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=2^{r}, r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z-y)=(m+1) y \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{x} \cdot 2^{r(x-z)}+1=\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{z} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2^{2 m}+1 \equiv 2\left(\bmod 2^{2 m}-1\right)$, we see from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{z} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{2 m}-1\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.10), we obtain $2 m \mid z$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=2 m k, k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 \mid k$, then $\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k} \equiv 2^{m k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{2 m}-1\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}+1,\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}-1\right)=$ 1. Hence, by (3.9) and (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}-1=2^{r(x-z)-1}\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{x},\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}+1=2 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction.
If $2 \nmid k$, then $\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k} \equiv 2^{m k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)$ and $\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k} \equiv 2^{m k} \equiv-1\left(\bmod 2^{m}+1\right)$. Hence, by (3.9) and (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}+1=2\left(2^{m}+1\right)^{x} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{m k}-1=2^{r(x-z)-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{x} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{m}+1\right)^{x}-2^{r(x-z)-2}\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{x}=1 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2^{m}+1 \equiv 2\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)$, we see from $(3.15)$ that $2^{x} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)$. It results that $m \mid x$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=m l, l \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.9), (3.11) and (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(2^{2 m}-1\right)^{l} \cdot 2^{r(l-2 k)}\right)^{m}+1^{m}=\left(\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{2 k}\right)^{m} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, since $m \geq 3$, by Lemma 2.4 , (3.17) is impossible.
Case IV. $y>z>x$ and $P(n) \mid 2^{2 m}-1$.
Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{2 m}-1=a_{1} a_{2}, a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=1  \tag{3.18}\\
n^{z-x}=a_{1}^{x}, a_{1}>1 \tag{3.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{x}+2^{(m+1) y} n^{y-z}=\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{z} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=2^{\alpha} x_{1}, z=2^{\beta} z_{1}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0 \\
x_{1}, z_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \nmid x_{1} z_{1} . \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $a_{2}=1$, then from (3.20) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(m+1) y} n^{y-z}=\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{z}-1=\sum_{i=1}^{z}\binom{z}{i} 2^{2 m i} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same method as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 m+\beta} \| \sum_{i=1}^{z}\binom{z}{i} 2^{2 m i} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.22) and (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m+1) y=2 m+\beta . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, since $y>z>x$ and $y \geq 3$, by (3.21) and (3.24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y>z \geq 2^{\beta}=2^{(m+1) y-2 m}=2^{(y-2) m+y}>2^{y} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction. So we have $a_{2}>1$.
By (3.20) and (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{x} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{2 m+\beta}\right) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.21) and (3.26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2} \equiv \lambda\left(\bmod 2^{2 m+\beta-\alpha}\right), \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=(-1)^{\left(a_{2}-1\right) / 2}$. Since $a_{2}>1$, we have $a_{2}+1 \geq a_{2}-\lambda>0$. Hence, by (3.27), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2} \geq 2^{2 m+\beta-\alpha}-1 . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we see from (3.18) and (3.19) that $a_{2}=\left(2^{2 m}-1\right) / a_{1}<2^{2 m}-1$. Therefore, by (3.28), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>\beta . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by (3.21) and (3.29), $x / 2^{\beta}$ is even and $(z-x) / 2^{\beta}$ is odd. Thus, we find from (3.19) that $n$ must be a square, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=l^{2}, l \in \mathbb{N}, l>1,2 \nmid l . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.30) into (3.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{2}^{x / 2}\right)^{2}+2^{(m+1) y}\left(l^{y-z}\right)^{2}=\left(2^{2 m}+1\right)^{z} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 \mid(m+1) y$, then, by $(3.31),(2.1)$ has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, Y, Z, k)=\left(a_{2}^{x / 2}, 2^{(m+1) y / 2} l^{y-z}, 2^{2 m}+1, z\right) . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to (3.32), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 m}+1=A^{2}+B^{2}, A, B \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(A, B)=1,2 \mid B \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(m+1) y / 2-\beta} \mid B \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.33) and (3.34), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{m} \geq B \geq 2^{(m+1) y / 2-\beta}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}((y-2) m+y) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m \geq 3$ and $y \geq 3$, we see from (3.21) and (3.36) that $\beta \geq 3, y>z \geq 2^{\beta} \geq 8$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y>z \geq 2^{\beta} \geq 2^{((y-2) m+y) / 2} \geq 2^{2 y-3} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction.
If $2 \nmid(m+1) y$, then, by $(3.31),(2.8)$ has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, Y, Z, k)=\left(a_{2}^{x / 2}, 2^{((m+1) y-1) / 2} l^{y-z}, 2^{2 m}+1, z\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 m}+1=A^{2}+2 B^{2}, A, B \in \mathbb{N}, \operatorname{gcd}(A, B)=1,2 \nmid A \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{((m+1) y-1) / 2-\beta} \mid B \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.39) and (3.40), we get $2^{2 m} \geq 2 B^{2}$ and (3.36) holds too. Thus, we can deduce a contradiction as (3.37).

To sum up, the theorem is proved.
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