Does the Jordan Form of a Matrix have the Greatest Number of Zeros?

by

Frédéric Brulois, George Jennings and Şerban Raianu

Abstract

Does the Jordan form of a matrix have more zeros than any other matrix in its orbit? We show that the answer is *yes* for semisimple and nilpotent matrices, but *no* in the general case.

Key Words: Jordan canonical form, rational form, semisimple matrix, niplotent matrix.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 15A21.

What is the simplest form of a matrix? There are at least two candidates:

- i) the form that is closest to a diagonal matrix (Jordan form);
- ii) the form with the most zeros (sparsest form).

We seek to determine if these two are the same.

Let $A \in M_n(k)$ be a square matrix with entries in the algebraically closed field k. The *orbit* of A is $\mathcal{O}(A) = \{UAU^{-1} \mid U \in GL_n(k)\}$. It is clear that all matrices in $\mathcal{O}(A)$ have the same rank. The *Jordan form* J(A) of A (see, e.g., [2, Ch. XV]) is the matrix in $\mathcal{O}(A)$ that it is the closest to a diagonal matrix (recall that J(A) is unique up to a permutation of the blocks on the diagonal). The matrix having the largest number of zero entries among all the matrices in the orbit of A is the *sparsest* matrix in the orbit (the sparsest matrix needs not be unique).

We now ask the following question: is J(A) the sparsest matrix in $\mathcal{O}(A)$?

We consider first the case when A is semisimple (which means that J(A) is a diagonal matrix), or nilpotent (which means that J(A) is a matrix having possibly nonzero entries only immediately above the main diagonal). In both of these cases, J(A) has at most one nonzero entry in each column and in each row. Therefore, if we denote by r the number of nonzero entries in J(A), we have clearly that r = rank(J(A)) = rank(A). Now any matrix having more than $n^2 - r$ zeros will have at least n - r + 1 zero columns, therefore its rank will be less than r, and so it cannot belong to $\mathcal{O}(A)$. In conclusion, if A is semisimple or nilpotent, then J(A) is the sparsest matrix in $\mathcal{O}(A)$.

Another characterization of J(A) is the following: A = S + N, where S is a semisimple matrix, N is a nilpotent matrix, and SN = NS. Then J(A) = J(S) + J(N) (see [1, 4.2, p.17]). This might suggest that since J(S) and J(N) are the sparsest matrices in the orbits of S and N, respectively, then J(A) might be the sparsest matrix in $\mathcal{O}(A)$ for a general A. The following example shows that this is not the case.

Let $A \in M_{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ be a matrix whose minimal polynomial and characteristic polynomial are both equal to $(x^n - 1)^2$. Then the rational form of A has 2n + 1 nonzero entries, and its Jordan form has 3n nonzero entries. So if n > 1, the Jordan form has fewer zeros than the rational form.

For example: take n=2 and consider the 4×4 matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)$$

in rational form. It has 5 nonzero entries but its Jordan form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)$$

has 6 nonzero entries.

References

- [1] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [2] S. Lang, Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1965.

Received: *****

California State University Dominguez Hills,
Mathematics Department,
1000 E Victoria St
Carson,
CA 90747, U.S.A..

E-mail: fbrulois@csudh.edu E-mail: gjennings@csudh.edu E-mail: sraianu@csudh.edu