

Two new q -congruences involving double basic hypergeometric series

by
 CHUANAN WEI⁽¹⁾, CHUN LI⁽²⁾

Abstract

In this paper, we establish two new q -congruences involving double basic hypergeometric series. As conclusions, we give several congruences including the following one:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3 (\frac{1}{4})_k}{(1)_k^4 4^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(4j)^2} - \frac{1}{(2j-1)^2} \right\} \\ \equiv \begin{cases} p \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-1)/4}}{(1)_{(p-1)/4}} \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/4} \frac{1}{(4j)^2} \pmod{p^2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \pmod{p^2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

where p is an odd prime.

Key Words: q -Congruence, basic hypergeometric series, Watson's ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation formula, Gasper and Rahman's summation formula.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11A07; Secondary 11B65.

1 Introduction

For a complex variable x , define the shifted-factorial to be

$$(x)_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1) \quad \text{when } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In 2011, Long [12] conjectured the following interesting congruence involving double series: for any odd prime p ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^k \frac{6k+1}{8^k} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(2j-1)^2} - \frac{1}{16j^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (1.1)$$

This conjecture was proved by Swisher [14] in 2015.

For two complex numbers x and q , define the q -shifted factorial to be

$$(x; q)_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (x; q)_n = (1-x)(1-xq)\cdots(1-xq^{n-1}) \quad \text{when } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For shortening many of the formulas in this paper, we also adopt the notation

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r; q)_n = (x_1; q)_n (x_2; q)_n \cdots (x_r; q)_n.$$

Recently, Gu and Guo [2] discovered the beautiful q -analogue of (1.1): for any positive odd integer,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [6k + 1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{q^{2j-1}}{[2j-1]^2} - \frac{q^{4j}}{[4j]^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Here and throughout the paper, $[n] = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ denotes the q -integer and $\Phi_n(q)$ stands for the n -th cyclotomic polynomial in q :

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ is an n -th primitive root of unity. Furthermore, Wand and Yu [16, Theorem 1] gave the following conclusion: for any integers $n, d > 1$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/d} (-1)^k [2dk + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^3}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3} q^{d\binom{k+1}{2}-k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+1}}{[dj-d+1]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

For more q -analogues of supercongruences, the reader is referred to the papers [3, 4, 5, 7, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17].

Inspired by the work just mentioned, we shall establish the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^3 (xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3 (q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ & \times \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Theorem 2. *Let n be a positive odd integer. Then, modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k + 1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3 (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{k^2+k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{4j}}{[4j]^2} - \frac{q^{2j-1}}{[2j-1]^2} \right) \\ & \equiv \begin{cases} [n] q^{(1-n)/4} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/4} \frac{q^{4j}}{[4j]^2}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

With the change of the parameters x, y and z , Theorem 1 can produce a lot of concrete q -congruences. Six ones of them are laid out as follows.

Choosing $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (q^{d-r}, q^{d-r}, \infty)$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following q -congruence.

Corollary 1. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} (-1)^k [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k} q^{d\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Fixing $(x, y, z) = (q^{d-r}, q^{d-r}, 1)$ in Theorem 1, we get the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^2}{(q^d; q^d)_k^2} q^{-rk} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Taking $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (q^{d-r}, 1, \infty)$ in Theorem 1, we have the following formula.

Corollary 3. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} (-1)^k [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^3}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3} q^{d\binom{k}{2} + (d-r)k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

When $r = 1$, Corollary 3 reduces to (1.2). So the former can be regarded as a generalization of the latter.

Choosing $(x, y, z) = (q^{d-r}, 1, 1)$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following q -congruence.

Corollary 4. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^4}{(q^d; q^d)_k^4} q^{(d-2r)k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Taking $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (1, 1, \infty)$ in Theorem 1, we get the following result.

Corollary 5. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} (-1)^k [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^5}{(q^d; q^d)_k^5} q^{d\binom{k}{2} + 2(d-r)k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Setting $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 1)$ in Theorem 1, we have the following formula.

Corollary 6. *Let $n > 1$, $d > 1$, $r > 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k} q^{(2d-3r)k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Letting $n = p$ be an odd prime with $p \equiv r \pmod{d}$ and $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ such that $p \geq r$ and then letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in Corollaries 1-6, we arrive at the following congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (-1)^k (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k}{(1)_k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^2}{(1)_k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (-1)^k (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^3}{(1)_k^3} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^4}{(1)_k^4} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (-1)^k (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^5}{(1)_k^5} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^6}{(1)_k^6} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n = p$ be an odd prime and then letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 2, we are led to the following conclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k + 1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k}{(1)_k^4 4^k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(4j)^2} - \frac{1}{(2j-1)^2} \right\} \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} p \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{(p-1)/4}}{(1)_{(p-1)/4}} \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/4} \frac{1}{(4j)^2} \pmod{p^2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \pmod{p^2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. We shall display the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 2 will be provided in Section 3. Two conjectures will be proposed in Section 4.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Following Gasper and Rahman [1], define the basic hypergeometric series to be

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_k}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q)_k} z^k.$$

Then Watson’s ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation formula (cf. [1, Appendix (III.17)]) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ & a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2q^{n+2}}{bcde} \right] \\ &= \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_n}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} aq/bc, & d, & e, & q^{-n} \\ aq/b, & aq/c, & deq^{-n}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. In terms of (2.1), we can catch hold of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r, xq^r, yq^r, zq^r, q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z, q^{d-n}, q^{d+n}; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ &= [n](zq^r)^{(r-n)/d} \frac{(zq^{2r}; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}}{(q^d/z; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} \frac{(q^{d-r}/xy, zq^r, q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, zq^{2r}; q^d)_k} q^{dk}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^3 (xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3 (q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ & - [n](zq^r)^{(r-n)/d} \frac{(zq^{2r}; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}}{(q^d/z; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} \frac{(q^{d-r}/xy, zq^r, q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, zq^{2r}; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^3 (xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3 (q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ & - \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r, xq^r, yq^r, zq^r, q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z, q^{d-n}, q^{d+n}; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r, xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ & \times \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^2 (q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}; q^d)_k - (q^d; q^d)_k^2 (q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^2 (q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}; q^d)_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Noticing that $1 - q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}; q^d)_k &= \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - q^{dj+n})(1 - q^{dj-n}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \left\{ (1 - q^{dj})^2 - (1 - q^n)^2 q^{dj-n} \right\} \\ &\equiv (q^d; q^d)_k^2 - (q^d; q^d)_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(1 - q^n)^2}{(1 - q^{dj})^2} q^{dj-n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can discover

$$(q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k \equiv (q^r; q^d)_k^2 - (q^r; q^d)_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(1 - q^n)^2}{(1 - q^{dj-d+r})^2} q^{dj-d+r-n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^4}. \quad (2.3)$$

The combination of the last two equation engenders

$$\begin{aligned} &(q^r; q^d)_k^2 (q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}; q^d)_k - (q^d; q^d)_k^2 (q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k \\ &\equiv (q^r; q^d)_k^2 (q^d; q^d)_k^2 [n]^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r-n}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj-n}}{[dj]^2} \right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The $(a, b, c, e) \rightarrow (1, 1/z, x, y)$ case of Liu and Wang [10, Lemma 2]) reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk+r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^3 (xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d; q^d)_k^3 (q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\ &\equiv [n] (zq^r)^{(r-n)/d} \frac{(zq^{2r}; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}}{(q^d/z; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} \frac{(q^{d-r}/xy, zq^r, q^r, q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, zq^{2r}; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (2.5) into (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[n] (zq^r)^{(r-n)/d} \frac{(zq^{2r}; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}}{(q^d/z; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} \frac{(q^{d-r}/xy, zq^r, q^r, q^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, zq^{2r}; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \\ &- [n] (zq^r)^{(r-n)/d} \frac{(zq^{2r}; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}}{(q^d/z; q^d)_{(n-r)/d}} \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} \frac{(q^{d-r}/xy, zq^r, q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, zq^{2r}; q^d)_k} q^{dk} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [n]^2 \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk+r] \frac{(q^r, xq^r, yq^r, zq^r; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^d/x, q^d/y, q^d/z; q^d)_k} \frac{q^{(2d-3r)k}}{(xyz)^k} \\
 &\quad \times \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^2}{(q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}, q^d)_k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r-n}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj-n}}{[dj]^2} \right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by q^n and using $(q^{r+n}, q^{r-n}; q^d)_k \equiv (q^r; q^d)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$, we derive Theorem 1. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove Theorem 2, we require Gasper and Rahman’s summation formula for basic hypergeometric series (cf. [1, Equation (3.8.12)]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-aq^{3k}}{1-a} \frac{(a, b, q/b; q)_k (d, f, a^2q/df; q^2)_k}{(q^2, aq^2/b, abq; q^2)_k (aq/d, aq/f, df/a; q)_k} q^k \\
 &\quad + \frac{(aq, f/a, b, q/b; q)_{\infty} (d, aq^2/df, fq^2/d, df^2q/a^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(a/f, fq/a, aq/d, df/a; q)_{\infty} (aq^2/b, abq, fq/ab, bf/a; q^2)_{\infty}} \\
 &\quad \times {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} f, bf/a, fq/ab \\ fq^2/d, df^2q/a^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{(aq, f/a; q)_{\infty} (aq^2/bd, abq/d, bdf/a, dfq/ab; q^2)_{\infty}}{(aq/d, df/a; q)_{\infty} (aq^2/b, abq, bf/a, fq/ab; q^2)_{\infty}}. \tag{3.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we start to prove Theorem 2.

Proof. Setting $d = q^{-2n}$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-aq^{3k}}{1-a} \frac{(a, b, q/b; q)_k (f; q^2)_k}{(q^2, aq^2/b, abq; q^2)_k (aq/f; q)_k} q^{\frac{k^2+k}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{f} \right)^k \\
 &= \frac{(aq, aq^2, aq^2/bf, abq/f; q^2)_{\infty}}{(aq/f, aq^2/f, aq^2/b, abq; q^2)_{\infty}}. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the last equation gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q, q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4-n}, q^{4+n}, q^4)_k (q^2; q^2)_k} q^{k^2+k} = [n]q^{(1-n)/4} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3 (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{k^2+k} - [n] q^{(1-n)/4} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3 (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{k^2+k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q, q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4-n}, q^{4+n}; q^4)_k (q^2; q^2)_k} q^{k^2+k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{k^2+k} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q; q^2)_k^2 (q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k - (q^4; q^4)_k^2 (q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k^2 (q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k}. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Via (2.3), there hold

$$\begin{aligned}
(q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k &\equiv (q^4; q^4)_k^2 - (q^4; q^4)_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(1-q^n)^2}{(1-q^{4j})^2} q^{4j-n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^4)}, \\
(q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k &\equiv (q; q^2)_k^2 - (q; q^2)_k^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(1-q^n)^2}{(1-q^{2j-1})^2} q^{2j-1-n} \pmod{\Phi_n(q^4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing the last two equations, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q; q^2)_k^2 (q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k - (q^4; q^4)_k^2 (q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k \\
&\equiv (q; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k^2 [n]^2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{2j-1-n}}{[2j-1]^2} - \frac{q^{4j-n}}{[4j]^2} \right) \pmod{\Phi_n(q^4)}. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

A q -supercongruence due to Wei [17, Theorem 1.3]) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3 (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{k^2+k} \\
&\equiv [n] q^{(1-n)/4} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} \left\{ 1 - [n]^2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/4} \frac{q^{4j}}{[4j]^2} \right\} \pmod{[n] \Phi_n(q)^3}. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.4) and (3.5) into (3.3), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{k^2+k} \frac{(q; q^2)_k^2}{(q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{4j-n}}{[4j]^2} - \frac{q^{2j-1-n}}{[2j-1]^2} \right) \\
&\equiv [n] q^{(1-n)/4} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}} \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1)/4} \frac{q^{4j}}{[4j]^2} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by q^n and utilizing $(q^{4+n}, q^{4-n}; q^4)_k \equiv (q^4; q^4)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q^2)}$, we deduce the $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ case of Theorem 2.

When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, equation (3.2) produces

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k + 1] \frac{(q, q^{1+n}, q^{1-n}; q^2)_k (q; q^4)_k}{(q^4, q^{4-n}, q^{4+n}; q^4)_k (q^2; q^2)_k} q^{k^2+k} = 0.$$

A q -supercongruence due to Wei [17, Theorem 1.1]) can be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k + 1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^3 (q; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{k^2+k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^3}.$$

The similar argument leads to the $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ case of Theorem 2. □

4 Two open problems

Numerical calculations indicate the following two open problems related to Corollaries 1-6.

Conjecture 1. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0, m \geq 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} (-1)^k [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_{2m+1}}{(q^d; q^d)_{2m+1}} q^{d\binom{k}{2} + m(d-r)k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture 2. *Let $n > 1, d > 1, r > 0, m \geq 0$ be integers with $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ such that $n \geq r$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n-r)/d} [2dk + r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_{2m+2}}{(q^d; q^d)_{2m+2}} q^{m(d-r)k - rk} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{dj-d+r}}{[dj-d+r]^2} - \frac{q^{dj}}{[dj]^2} \right) \\ & \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n = p$ be an odd prime with $p \equiv r \pmod{d}$ and $\gcd(r, d) = 1$ such that $p \geq r$ and then letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in Conjectures 1 and 2, we are led to the following congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (-1)^k (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^{2m+1}}{\binom{1}{1}_k^{2m+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-r)/d} (2dk + r) \frac{\binom{r}{d}_k^{2m+2}}{\binom{1}{1}_k^{2m+2}} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{1}{(dj-d+r)^2} - \frac{1}{(dj)^2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement *The authors thank the anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on this paper. The work is supported by Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundations of China (Nos. 124RC511 and 823RC487) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071103).*

References

- [1] G. GASPER, M. RAHMAN, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [2] C.-Y. GU, V. J. W. GUO, A q -analogue of a hypergeometric congruence, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **101** (2020), 294–298.
- [3] V. J. W. GUO, q -Analogues of Dwork-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **487** (2020), 124022.
- [4] V. J. W. GUO, A q -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **114** (2020), 123.
- [5] L. LI, S.-D. WANG, Proof of a q -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **114** (2020), 190.
- [6] J.-C. LIU, On a congruence involving q -Catalan numbers, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (2020), 211–215.
- [7] J.-C. LIU, On the divisibility of q -trinomial coefficients, *Ramanujan J.*, in press.
- [8] J.-C. LIU, Z.-Y. HUANG, A truncated identity of Euler and related q -congruences, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **102** (2020), 353–359.
- [9] J.-C. LIU, F. PETROV, Congruences on sums of q -binomial coefficients, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **116** (2020), 102003.
- [10] Y. LIU, X. WANG, q -Analogues of two Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **502** (2021), 125238.
- [11] Y. LIU, X. WANG, Some q -supercongruences from a quadratic transformation by Rahman, *Results Math.* **77** (2022), 44.
- [12] L. LONG, Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences, *Pacific J. Math.* **249** (2011), 405–418.
- [13] H.-X. NI, H. PAN, On a conjectured q -congruence of Guo and Zeng, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), 1699–1707.
- [14] H. SWISHER, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* **2** (2015), 18.
- [15] C. WANG, A new q -extension of the (H.2) congruence of Van Hamme for primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, *Results Math.* **76** (2021), 205.
- [16] X. WANG, M. YU, Some new q -congruences on double sums, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **115** (2021), 9.

- [17] C. WEI, Some q -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **182** (2021), 105469.
- [18] C. WEI, q -Supercongruences from Gasper and Rahman's summation formula, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **139** (2022), 102376.

Received: 16.07.2022

Revised: 27.09.2022

Accepted: 02.10.2022

⁽¹⁾School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University,
Haikou 571158, P. R. China
and
School of Biomedical Information and Engineering, Hainan Medical University,
Haikou 571199, P. R. China
E-mail: weichuanan78@163.com

⁽²⁾ (corresponding author) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University,
Haikou 571158, P. R. China,
College of Elementary Education, Hainan Normal University,
Haikou 571158, P. R. China
and
Key Laboratory of Data Science and Intelligence Education of Ministry of Education,
Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, P. R. China
E-mail: lichun@hainnu.edu.cn