Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 68 (116), No. 2, 2025, 201–208

On super Catalan numbers modulo 8 by

JI-CAI LIU⁽¹⁾, XUE-TING JIANG⁽²⁾, YEONG-NAN YEH⁽³⁾

Abstract

In this note, we completely determine the half of the super Catalan numbers $S(m,n) = \binom{2m}{m}\binom{2n}{n}/\binom{m+n}{m}$ modulo 8, which generalizes Eu et al's congruence regarding Catalan numbers C_n modulo 8. In particular, we show that $S(m,n)/2 \neq_8 7$ for all positive integers m and n. We also derive the half of central binomial coefficients $\binom{2n}{n}$ modulo 8 as a corollary.

Key Words: Catalan numbers, super Catalan numbers, central binomial coefficients.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05A10; Secondary 11B75.

1 Introduction

The Catalan numbers, given by

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}, \quad n \ge 0$$

occur in various counting problems. For instance, C_n is the number of monotonic lattice paths along the edges of a grid with $n \times n$ square cells, which do not pass above the diagonal, and is also the number of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that avoid the permutation pattern 123. We refer to [10] for many different combinatorial interpretations of the Catalan numbers.

In the past half-century, the divisibility of Catalan numbers has been widely discussed by several mathematicians. It is well known that C_n is odd if and only if $n = 2^k - 1$ for a nonnegative integer k. Let p be a prime. Alter and Kubota [2] showed that $p \nmid C_{p^k-1}$ for any nonnegative integer k, and that the subsequence of Catalan numbers which are divisible by p and the subsequence of Catalan numbers which are not divisible by p form into blocks.

For any positive integer n, let $\alpha(n)$ be the highest power index of base 2 such that $2^{\alpha(n)}$ divides n, and d(n) be the sum of the digits in the base 2 expansion of n. It follows from Kummer's result on the order of a binomial coefficient [7] that $\alpha(C_n) = d(n+1) - 1$. It's worth mentioning that Deutsch and Sagan [4] proved this formula through a combinatorial approach. One can easily deduce from this formula that C_n is odd if and only if $n = 2^k - 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Deutsch and Sagan [4] also derived various interesting congruences modulo 3 for the Catalan numbers, central binomial coefficients and related sequences.

Inspired by Deutsch and Sagan's results [4], Eu, Liu and the third author [5] studied the nonzero congruences for Catalan numbers and completely determined C_n modulo 8 as follows

$$C_{n} \equiv_{8} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 2^{a+1} + 2^{a} - 1 \text{ for some } a \ge 0, \\ 4 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} + 2^{c} - 1 \text{ for some } a > b > c \ge 0, \\ 5 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} - 1 \text{ for some } a \ge 2, \\ 6 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} - 1 \text{ for some } a - 2 \ge b \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

From (1.1), we see that $C_n \neq_8 3$ and $C_n \neq_8 7$ for any nonnegative integer n. Subsequently, Xin and Xu [11] gave an alternative proof of (1.1) by using a new recursion for Catalan numbers, and further studied Catalan numbers modulo 2^r . It is worth mentioning that Krattenthaler and Müller [8] recently established some interesting congruences modulo powers of 2 for Motzkin numbers and related sequences.

The super Catalan numbers named by Gessel [6] are given by

$$S(m,n) = \frac{\binom{2m}{m}\binom{2n}{n}}{\binom{m+n}{m}},$$

for nonnegative integers m and n. Note that these numbers S(m, n) are always integral and S(1, n)/2 coincides with the Catalan number C_n . We remark that these numbers S(m, n) should not be confused with the Schröder–Hipparchus numbers, which are sometimes also called super Catalan numbers. Some interpretations of S(m, n) for some special values of m have been studied by several authors (see, for example, [1, 3, 9]). It is still an open problem to find a general combinatorial interpretation for the super Catalan numbers.

The motivation of the note is to generalize Eu-Liu-Yeh congruence (1.1) and completely determine S(m, n)/2 modulo 8 as follows.

Theorem 1. For nonnegative integers m and n with m + n > 0, we have

$$S(m,n) = \{0,1\},$$

$$2 \quad if \ m = n = 1 \ or \ \{m,n\} = \{0,1\},$$

$$2 \quad if \ m + n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} \ for \ some \ a - 2 \ge b \ge 0 \ and \ (m,n) \equiv_{2} 0 \ or \ m + n = 2^{a+1} + 2^{a} \ for \ some \ a \ge 0 \ and \ (m,n) \equiv_{2} 1,$$

$$3 \quad if \ m + n = 2^{a} \ for \ some \ a \ge 1 \ and \ m \equiv_{2} 0,$$

$$4 \quad if \ m + n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} + 2^{c} \ for \ some \ a > b > c \ge 0,$$

$$5 \quad if \ m + n = 2^{a} \ for \ some \ a \ge 2 \ and \ m \equiv_{2} 1,$$

$$6 \quad if \ m + n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} \ for \ some \ a - 2 \ge b \ge 0 \ and \ (m,n) \equiv_{2} 1 \ or \ m + n = 2^{a+1} + 2^{a} \ for \ some \ a \ge 0 \ and \ (m,n) \equiv_{2} 1 \ or \ m + n = 2^{a+1} + 2^{a} \ for \ some \ a \ge 0 \ and \ (m,n) \equiv_{2} 0,$$

$$0 \quad otherwise.$$

Theorem 1 implies that $S(m,n)/2 \not\equiv_8 7$ for all nonnegative integers m and n with m+n>0. Letting m=1 in (1.2) reduces to (1.1). Letting m=0 in (1.2) leads us to the following congruence regarding the half of the central binomial coefficients $\binom{2n}{n}$.

Corollary 1. For any positive integer n, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \binom{2n}{n} \equiv_{8} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ or } n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} \text{ for some } a - 2 \ge b \ge 1, \\ 3 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} \text{ for some } a \ge 1, \\ 4 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} + 2^{b} + 2^{c} \text{ for some } a > b > c \ge 0, \\ 6 & \text{if } n = 2^{a} + 1 \text{ for some } a \ge 2 \text{ or } n = 2^{a+1} + 2^{a} \text{ for some } a \ge 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Corollary 1, we deduce that $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n}{n} \neq_8 5$ and $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n}{n} \neq_8 7$ for any positive integer n. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some notation and preliminary results. We prove Theorem 1 in Section 3.

2 Preliminary results

Following Eu et al. [5], we first recall some notation. For any positive integer n, let $[n]_2 := \langle n_r n_{r-1} \cdots n_1 n_0 \rangle_2$ denote the sequence of digits representing n in base 2, i.e., $n = n_r 2^r + n_{r-1} 2^{r-1} + \cdots + n_1 2 + n_0$ with $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $n_r \neq 0$ for some integer r. For convenience, we let $n_{r+1} = n_{r+2} = \cdots = 0$. We define $|\langle n_r n_{r-1} \cdots n_1 n_0 \rangle_2| = n_r 2^r + n_{r-1} 2^{r-1} + \cdots + n_1 2 + n_0$ and $d(n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} n_i$, which counts the number of the digit 1's from n_0 to n_r . Let r(n) denote the number of runs of digit 1's in $[n]_2$.

The following lemma computes the values of $\alpha(n!)$.

Lemma 1. (See Eu et al. [5, Lemma 2.1].) For any positive integer n, we have

$$\alpha(n!) = n - d(n). \tag{2.1}$$

For a statement S, we set $\chi(S) = 1$ if S is true, otherwise $\chi(S) = 0$. For positive integers $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_l$, we define

$$E_4\left(3,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i\right) := \sum_{i=1}^k \chi\left(\frac{m_i}{2^{\alpha(m_i)}} \equiv_4 3\right),$$

and

$$E_4\left(3,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i / \prod_{j=1}^l q_j\right) := E_4\left(3,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i\right) - E_4\left(3,\prod_{j=1}^l q_j\right)$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we require the parity of $E_4(3, n!)$.

Lemma 2. (See Eu et al. [5, Lemma 2.2].) For any positive integer n, we have

$$E_4(3,n!) \equiv_2 r(n) + n_1 + n_0. \tag{2.2}$$

In the sequence $[n]_2$, let $r_1(n)$ be the number of isolated 1's, zr(n) the number of runs of digit 0's, and $zr_1(n)$ the number of isolated 0's. For t = 3, 5, 7, we define

$$E_8\left(t,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i\right) := \sum_{i=1}^k \chi\left(\frac{m_i}{2^{\alpha(m_i)}} \equiv_8 t\right),$$

and

$$E_8\left(t,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i / \prod_{j=1}^l q_j\right) := E_8\left(t,\prod_{i=1}^k m_i\right) - E_8\left(t,\prod_{j=1}^l q_j\right),$$

where $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_k, q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_l$ are all positive integers.

We also require the parity of $E_8(t, n!)$ for t = 3, 5, 7.

Lemma 3. (See Eu et al. [5, Lemma 4.1].) For any positive integer n, we have

$$E_8(3, n!) \equiv_2 r_1(n) + zr(n) + n_2 + n_0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$E_8(5,n!) \equiv_2 r(n) + zr_1(n) + n_2 + n_0, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$E_8(7, n!) \equiv_2 r_1(n) + n_2 + n_1 + n_0. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $[n]_2 = \langle n_u n_{u-1} \cdots n_1 n_0 \rangle_2$. Then $[2n]_2 = \langle n_u n_{u-1} \cdots n_1 n_0 0 \rangle_2$. The following equations are useful in the proof of Theorem 1: $d(2n) = d(n), r(2n) = r(n), r_1(2n) = r_1(n), (2n)_0 = 0$ and $(2n)_i = n_{i-1}$ for $i \ge 1$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Noting that

$$S(m,n) = \frac{(2m)!(2n)!}{(m+n)!m!n!},$$

by (2.1) we have

$$\alpha \left(S(m,n) \right) = d(m+n) + d(m) + d(n) - d(2m) - d(2n)$$

= d(m+n). (3.1)

From (3.1), we deduce that S(m, n) is even for nonnegative integers m and n with m + n > 0. We shall distinguish four cases to prove Theorem 1.

Case 1 $d(n+m) \ge 4$. In this case, $n+m = 2^{a_1} + 2^{a_2} + \dots + 2^{a_t}$ for some $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 4$. From (3.1), we deduce that $S(m,n)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

Case 2 d(n+m) = 3. In this event, $n+m = 2^a + 2^b + 2^c$ for some $a > b > c \ge 0$. By (3.1), we have S(m,n)/8 is always an odd integer, and so $S(m,n)/2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.

$$E_{4}(3, S(m, n))$$

$$= E_{4}\left(3, \frac{(2m)!(2n)!}{(m+n)!m!n!}\right)$$

$$\equiv_{2} r(2m) + r(2n) - r(m+n) - r(m) - r(n)$$

$$+ (2n)_{1} + (2n)_{0} + (2m)_{1} + (2m)_{0} - (n+m)_{1} - (n+m)_{0} - (m)_{1} - (m)_{0} - (n)_{1} - (n)_{0}$$

$$\equiv_{2} r(m+n) + (m+n)_{1} + (m+n)_{0} + (m)_{1} + (n)_{1}.$$
(3.2)
Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2|, \end{aligned}$$

we get $(m+n)_1 + (m+n)_0 + (m)_1 + (n)_1 \equiv_2 0$ for $(m,n) \equiv_2 0$. On the other hand, noticing that

$$\begin{split} |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 01 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 00 \rangle_2|, \\ |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 11 \rangle_2| &= |\langle \cdots 10 \rangle_2|, \end{split}$$

we obtain $(m+n)_1 + (m+n)_0 + (m)_1 + (n)_1 \equiv_2 1$ for $(m,n) \equiv_2 1$.

Furthermore, we have r(m+n) = 2 for $a-2 \ge b \ge 0$ and r(m+n) = 1 for $a-1 = b \ge 0$. It follows that $E_4(3, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 0$ if and only if $a-2 \ge b \ge 0$ and $(m, n) \equiv_2 0$ or $a-1 = b \ge 0$ and $(m, n) \equiv_2 1$, and $E_4(3, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 1$ if and only if $a-2 \ge b \ge 0$ and $(m, n) \equiv_2 1$ or $a-1 = b \ge 0$ and $(m, n) \equiv_2 0$. Combining the above with the fact that $S(m, n)/4 \equiv_4 (-1)^{E_4(3, S(m, n))}$, we get

$$\frac{S(m,n)}{2} \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m+n=2^a+2^b \text{ for some } a-2 \ge b \ge 0 \text{ and } (m,n) \equiv_2 0 \\ & \text{or } m+n=2^{a+1}+2^a \text{ for some } a \ge 0 \text{ and } (m,n) \equiv_2 1, \\ 6 & \text{if } m+n=2^a+2^b \text{ for some } a-2 \ge b \ge 0 \text{ and } (m,n) \equiv_2 1 \\ & \text{or } m+n=2^{a+1}+2^a \text{ for some } a \ge 0 \text{ and } (m,n) \equiv_2 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 4 d(n+m) = 1. In this event, $m+n = 2^a$ for some $a \ge 0$ and S(m,n)/2 is always an odd integer.

If a = 0, then $\{m, n\} = \{0, 1\}$, and we have S(0, 1)/2 = S(1, 0)/2 = 1.

If a = 1, then m = n = 1 or $\{m, n\} = \{0, 2\}$, we have S(1, 1)/2 = 1 and S(0, 2)/2 = S(2, 0)/2 = 3.

If a = 2, then m = n = 2, $\{m, n\} = \{1, 3\}$ or $\{m, n\} = \{0, 4\}$. It is trivial to check that S(2, 2)/2 = 3, S(1, 3)/2 = S(3, 1)/2 = 5 and $S(0, 4)/2 = S(4, 0)/2 \equiv_8 3$.

In what follows, we assume $a \ge 3$. By (2.3), we have

$$E_8(3, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 r_1(2m) + r_1(2n) - r_1(m+n) - r_1(m) - r_1(n) + zr(2m) + zr(2n) - zr(m+n) - zr(m) - zr(n) + (2m)_0 + (2n)_0 - (m+n)_0 - (m)_0 - (n)_0 + (2m)_2 + (2n)_2 - (m+n)_2 - (m)_2 - (n)_2.$$
(3.3)

Since both m and n have the same parity, we get $zr(2m) + zr(2n) - zr(m) - zr(n) \equiv_2 0$ and $(m+n)_0 + (m)_0 + (n)_0 \equiv_2 0$. Moreover, we have $r_1(m+n) = 1, zr(m+n) = 1$ and $(m+n)_2 = 0$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$E_8(3, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 (m)_2 + (n)_2 + (m)_1 + (n)_1.$$
(3.4)

By (2.4), we have

$$E_8(5, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 r(2m) + r(2n) - r(m+n) - r(m) - r(n) + zr_1(2m) + zr_1(2n) - zr_1(m+n) - zr_1(m) - zr_1(n) + (2m)_0 + (2n)_0 - (m+n)_0 - (m)_0 - (n)_0 + (2m)_2 + (2n)_2 - (m+n)_2 - (m)_2 - (n)_2 \equiv_2 1 + zr_1(2m) + zr_1(2n) + zr_1(m) + zr_1(n) + (m)_2 + (n)_2 + (m)_1 + (n)_1,$$
(3.5)

where we have used the facts that r(m+n) = 1 and $zr_1(m+n) = 0$. Furthermore, by (2.5) we have

$$E_8(7, S(m, n)) \equiv_2 r_1(2m) + r_1(2n) - r_1(m+n) - r_1(m) - r_1(n) + (2m)_0 + (2n)_0 - (m+n)_0 - (m)_0 - (n)_0 + (2m)_1 + (2n)_1 - (m+n)_1 - (m)_1 - (n)_1 + (2m)_2 + (2n)_2 - (m+n)_2 - (m)_2 - (n)_2 \equiv_2 1 + (m)_2 + (n)_2.$$
(3.6)

Since $m + n = 2^a$ for $a \ge 3$, the base 2 addition $|\langle m_u m_{u-1} \cdots m_2 m_1 m_0 \rangle_2|$ + $|\langle n_v n_{v-1} \cdots n_2 n_1 n_0 \rangle_2| = |\langle (m+n)_w (m+n)_{w-1} \cdots (m+n)_2 (m+n)_1 (m+n)_0 \rangle_2|$ belongs

206

to one of the following five cases:

$$|\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2| = |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2|, \tag{3.7}$$

$$|\langle \cdots 100 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 100 \rangle_2| = |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2|, \tag{3.8}$$

$$|\langle \cdots 110 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 010 \rangle_2| = |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2|, \tag{3.9}$$

$$|\langle \cdots 001 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 111 \rangle_2| = |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2|, \tag{3.10}$$

$$|\langle \cdots 011 \rangle_2| + |\langle \cdots 101 \rangle_2| = |\langle \cdots 000 \rangle_2|.$$
(3.11)

By using (3.4)–(3.6), we list the parity of $E_8(3, S(m, n)), E_8(5, S(m, n)), E_8(7, S(m, n))$ and the values of S(m, n)/2 modulo 8 with respect to the five cases (3.7)–(3.11) in the following table.

	(3.7)	(3.8)	(3.9)	(3.10)	(3.11)
$E_8(3, S(m, n))$	even	even	odd	even	even
$E_8(5, S(m, n))$	odd	odd	even	odd	odd
$E_8(7, S(m, n))$	odd	odd	even	even	even
$S(m,n)/2 \ \mathrm{mod} \ 8$	3	3	3	5	5

Here we evaluate S(m,n)/2 modulo 8 based on the parity of $E_8(3, S(m,n)), E_8(5, S(m,n)), E_8(7, S(m,n)),$ and the facts that $S(m,n)/2 \equiv_8 3^{E_8(3,S(m,n))} \times 5^{E_8(5,S(m,n))} \times 7^{E_8(7,S(m,n))}$ and $3^2 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 7^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

From the above table, we deduce that

$$\frac{S(m,n)}{2} \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } m+n=2^a \text{ for some } a \ge 3 \text{ and } m \equiv_2 0, \\ 5 & \text{if } m+n=2^a \text{ for some } a \ge 3 \text{ and } m \equiv_2 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, combining Cases 1–4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments which helped to improve the exposition of the paper. The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 12171370).

References

- [1] E. ALLEN, I. GHEORGHICIUC, A weighted interpretation for the super Catalan numbers, J. Integer Seq. 17 (2014), Art. 14.10.7.
- [2] R. ALTER, K. KUBOTA, Prime and prime power divisibility of Catalan numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 15 (1973), 243–256.
- [3] X. CHEN, J. WANG, The super Catalan numbers S(m, m + s) for $s \leq 4$, preprint (2012), arXiv:1208.4196.

- [4] E. DEUTSCH, B. SAGAN, Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences, J. Number Theory 117 (2006), 191–215.
- [5] S.-P. EU, S.-C. LIU, Y.-N. YEH, Catalan and Motzkin numbers modulo 4 and 8, European J. Combin. 29 (2008), 1449–1466.
- [6] I. GESSEL, Super ballot numbers, J. Symbolic Comput. 14 (1992), 179–194.
- [7] E. E. KUMMER, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852), 93–146.
- [8] C. KRATTENTHALER, T. W. MÜLLER, Motzkin numbers and related sequences modulo powers of 2, European J. Combin. 73 (2018), 114–137.
- [9] N. PIPPENGER, K. SCHLEICH, Topological characteristics of random triangulated surfaces, *Random Structures Algorithms* 28 (2006), 247–288.
- [10] R. P. STANLEY, Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [11] G. XIN, J.-F. XU, A short approach to Catalan numbers modulo 2^r, Electron. J. Combin. 18 (2011), Art. 177.

Received: 19.08.2023

Revised: 09.10.2023

Accepted: 12.10.2023

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, P. R. China (corresponding author) E-mail: jcliu2016@gmail.com

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, P. R. China E-mail: xtjiang2021@foxmail.com

⁽³⁾ Department of Mathematics, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, P. R. China E-mail: mayeh@wzu.edu.cn